

# FOIA MARKER

This is not a textual record. This is used as an administrative marker by the Clinton Presidential Library Staff.

Original OA/ID Number: 599				
Document ID: 9506127				
Stack: V	Row: 44	Section: 5	Shelf: 10	Position: 3

# Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

## Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001a. list	Summary of Letters and Documents [partial] (1 page)	n.d.	P6/b(6)
001b. letter	Steven M. Greer to President Clinton, re: Meeting and Executive Order. (partial) (1 page)	05/08/95	P6/b(6)
001c. briefing paper	The Center for Study of Extraterrestrial Intelligence [partial] (1 page)	n.d.	P6/b(6)

### **COLLECTION:**

Clinton Presidential Records  
NSC Records Management  
([Flying Saucer or UFO])  
OA/Box Number: 599

### **FOLDER TITLE:**

9506127

2006-0474-F

eh116

### **RESTRICTION CODES**

#### **Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]**

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

#### **Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]**

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

UNCLASSIFIED  
NSC/RMO PROFILE

RECORD ID: 9506127  
RECEIVED: 09 AUG 95 09

TO: SENS

FROM: DORS

DOC DATE: 03 AUG 95  
SOURCE REF:

KEYWORDS: SPACE PROGRAMS

SPACE POLICY

PERSONS: GREER, STEVEN

SUBJECT: REQUEST FOR MTG W/ ADMIN MEMBYERS RE DECLASSIFICATION OF ALL  
MATERIALS RELATING TO UFO

ACTION: FOR RECORD PURPOSES

DUE DATE: 12 AUG 95 STATUS: C

STAFF OFFICER: NONE

LOGREF:

FILES: WH

NSCP:

CODES:

D O C U M E N T   D I S T R I B U T I O N

FOR ACTION

FOR CONCURRENCE

FOR INFO

BELL  
SEATON  
SESTAK

COMMENTS:

DISPATCHED BY \_\_\_\_\_ DATE \_\_\_\_\_ BY HAND W/ATTCH

OPENED BY: NSASK

CLOSED BY: NSASK

DOC 1 OF 1

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED  
ACTION DATA SUMMARY REPORT

RECORD ID: 9506127

DOC ACTION OFFICER

CAO ASSIGNED ACTION REQUIRED

001

X 95080909 FOR RECORD PURPOSES

UNCLASSIFIED



# WHITE HOUSE CORRESPONDENCE TRACKING WORKSHEET

FE 010-01  
7/12/95  
[Signature]

☐ O - OUTGOING☐ H - INTERNAL☒ I - INCOMING

Date Correspondence Received (YY/MM/DD) 95/06/30

Name of Correspondent: STARLIGHT COALITION

☐ MI Mail Report

User Codes: (A) \_\_\_\_\_ (B) \_\_\_\_\_ (C) \_\_\_\_\_

Subject: REQUESTS MEETING WITH ADMIN. MEMBERS &amp; DECLASSIFICATION OF ALL RECORDS RELATED TO UFOs

## ROUTE TO:

## ACTION

## DISPOSITION

Office/Agency (Staff Name)	Action Code	Tracking Date YY/MM/DD	Type of Response	Completion Date YY/MM/DD
W MIKVA	ORIGINATOR	95/06/30		C 95/06/30
W AT 16	Referral Note: A	95/06/30		C 95/07/05
C O DORS	Referral Note: A	95/07/05	SD	A 95/08/03
✓ N SSENS EH	Referral Note: A	95/08/08		
	Referral Note:			
	Referral Note:			

## ACTION CODES:

A - Appropriate Action  
C - Comment/Recommendation  
D - Draft Response  
F - Furnish Fact Sheet  
to be used as Enclosure

I - Info Copy Only/No Action Necessary  
R - Direct Reply w/Copy  
S - For Signature  
X - Interim Reply

## DISPOSITION CODES:

A - Answered  
B - Non-Special Referral  
C - Completed  
S - Suspended

## FOR OUTGOING CORRESPONDENCE:

Type of Response = Initials of Signer  
Code = "A"  
Completion Date = Date of Outgoing

Comments:

SCANNED

Keep this worksheet attached to the original incoming letter.  
Send all routing updates to Central Reference (Room 75, OEOB).  
Always return completed correspondence record to Central Files.  
Refer questions about the correspondence tracking system to Central Reference, ext. 2590.



## RECORDS MANAGEMENT ONLY

### CLASSIFICATION SECTION

SCANNED

No. of Additional  
Correspondents: \_\_\_\_\_ Media: \_\_\_\_\_ Individual Codes: \_\_\_\_\_

Prime  
Subject Code: \_\_\_\_\_ Secondary  
Subject Codes: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

### PRESIDENTIAL REPLY

Code	Date	Comment	Form
C	_____	Time: _____	P- _____
DSP	_____	Time: _____	Media: _____

#### SIGNATURE CODES:

##### CPn - Presidential Correspondence

- n - 0 - Unknown
- n - 1 - William J. Clinton
- n - 2 - Bill Clinton
- n - 3 - Bill

##### CLn - First Lady's Correspondence

- n - 1 - Hillary Rodham Clinton
- n - 2 - Hillary Clinton
- n - 3 - Hillary
- n - 4 - Mrs. Hillary Clinton

##### CBn - Presidential & First Lady's Correspondence

- n - 1 - Hillary & Bill Clinton
- n - 2 - Hillary & Bill

#### MEDIA CODES:

- B - Box/package
- C - Copy
- D - Official document
- F - FAX
- G - Message
- H - Handcarried
- L - Letter
- M - Mailgram
- O - Memo
- P - Photo
- R - Report
- S - Sealed
- T - Telegram
- V - Telephone
- X - Miscellaneous
- Y - Study

August 3, 1995

Steven M. Greer, M.D.  
Director  
Center For the Study of  
Extraterrestrial Intelligence  
Post Office Box 15401  
Asheville, North Carolina 28813

Dear Dr. Greer:

On behalf of President Clinton, thank you for your letter and the information regarding your work on the issue of extraterrestrials. The President appreciates your interest and longstanding involvement in this issue.

I have forwarded your request for a meeting with members of the Administration to the appropriate White House staff. Thank you for taking the time to write.

Sincerely,

James A. Dorskind  
Special Assistant to the President  
Director of Correspondence and  
Presidential Messages

JAD/SEM/KM/jfc (Corres. 2350882)

cc: Seth Masket, 93 OEOB

cc: Andy <sup>5</sup>Jens, with incoming



DRAFT OF JAD LETTER

INITIALS: JD / sem *[signature]*

DOCUMENT TITLE: /slr/jad/greer.sm.sem

DRAFT DATE / LETTER DATE: Jul 14 1995 /

CORRESPONDENCE #: 2350882

CLEAR WITH:

WHCC:

CC: FWD.W/INCOMING TO:  
Office of Scheduling and  
Advance

CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESSED TO:

ENCLOSURES AND SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS:

Steven M. Greer, M.D.

Director

Center For the Study of

Extraterrestrial Intelligence

Post Office Box 15401

Asheville, North Carolina 28813

Dear Dr. Greer,

On behalf of President Clinton, thank you for your letter and your information regarding extraterrestrials. The President appreciates your interest and longstanding involvement in this issue.

We have forwarded your information to the Office of Scheduling so they may know of your request for a meeting with members of the Administration. Thank you for taking the time to write.

JUL 27 1995

JUL 27 1995



June 4, 1995

William Jefferson Clinton  
President of the United States  
The White House  
Washington, D.C.

Dear Mr. President:

We, the members of the Project Starlight Coalition, a group of American and international citizens working to effect a public disclosure on the UFO/extraterrestrial issue, respectfully request the following:


That appropriate members of the administration meet with members of the Project Starlight Coalition to review significant evidence and witness testimony related to the subject.


That the President issue an executive order to release U.S. government witnesses from their national security obligations/oaths related to the subject so that they may provide public testimony.


That the President issue an executive order to declassify and release currently classified materials, documents and evidence related to the subject.

We feel that these requests are consistent with recent administration actions which resulted in the release of classified information related to the Department of Energy, intelligence satellite photographs, and other documents. We recommend that this matter be handled expeditiously and we respectfully look forward to your reply.


Sincerely,


  
Steven M. Greer, M.D.

  
Brian O'Leary

  
Edgar Mitchell


  
Kevin Foley

  
George Lamb


  
Sandy Wright

Marie "Bootsie" Galbraith






  
Col. Jesse Marcel, M.D.

  
Cdr. Will Miller


  
William W. Holden, Sr.

  
Stephen E. Lovekin


Megazali A. Endreev 


Valery Ouvarov   



Valery Dvuzhikny


  
Shari Adamiak

  
Robert O. Dean

  
Cecelia Vindiola Dean

  
Jacqui Dunne


  
Ralph Steiner

  
Daniel Drasin

  
Robert Mitchell

  
Martin Keller

  
Kent Jeffrey

  
Michael Arno



THE WHITE HOUSE  
WASHINGTON

ORM OPTICAL DISK NETWORK

ID# \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_ *Hardcopy pages are in poor condition (too light or too dark).*

\_\_\_ *Remainder of case not scanned.*

\_\_\_ *Oversize attachment not scanned.*

~~\_\_\_~~ *Report not scanned.*

~~\_\_\_~~ *Enclosure(s) not scanned.*

~~\_\_\_~~ *Proclamation not scanned.*

\_\_\_ *Incoming letter(s) not scanned.*

\_\_\_ *Proposal not scanned.*

\_\_\_ *Statement not scanned.*

\_\_\_ *Duplicate letters attached - not scanned.*

\_\_\_ *Only table of contents scanned.*

\_\_\_ *No incoming letter attached.*

\_\_\_ *Only tracking sheet scanned.*

\_\_\_ *Photo(s) not scanned.*

\_\_\_ *Bill not scanned.*

\_\_\_ *Resolution not scanned.*

\_\_\_

*Comments:*

---

---

**SIGNATORIES TO LETTER TO PRESIDENT CLINTON FROM  
THE STARLIGHT COALITION, JUNE 4, 1995**

Steven M. Greer, M.D.  
International Director of CSETI

Brian O'Leary, Ph.D.  
Apollo Astronaut and Physicist

Edgar Mitchell, Ph.D.  
Apollo Astronaut, Physicist and  
Founder, Institute of Noetic Sciences

Kevin Foley  
Wabasha Group

George Lamb  
Assistant to Laurance Rockefeller

Sandy Wright  
Board Member, Noetic Sciences  
and BSW Foundation

Marie "Bootsie" Galbraith  
(Mrs. Evan Galbraith)  
BSW Foundation

Col. Jesse Marcel, M.D.  
Roswell Witness

Cdr. Will Miller  
Military Strategist

William W. Holden, Sr.  
Air Force Assistant to John F. Kennedy

Stephen L. Lovekin, Esq.  
Aide to President Eisenhower and Cryptographer

Megazali A. Endreev  
Minister of Internal Affairs  
Kabardino-Balkarian Republic, Russia

Page 2  
Signatories to letter  
to President Clinton  
from Project Starlight Coalition

Valery Ouharov  
Russian Scientist

Valery Dvuzhikny  
Russian Scientist

Shari Adamiak  
Executive Director of CSETI

Robert O. Dean  
Ret. Sgt. Major, U.S. Army

Cecelia Vindiola Dean  
Researcher

Jacqui Dunne  
Pacific Rim Productions

Ralph Steiner  
KPFK-Pacifica Radio/NPR

Dan Drasin  
Independent Media Producer

Robert Mitchell  
Researcher

Martin Keller  
Publicist - CSETI

Kent Jeffrey  
Delta Airlines Pilot, Roswell Declaration

Michael Arno  
United Nations - NGO Representative

## **Summary of Letters and Documents**

(in order of appearance)

1. Letter to President Clinton - 8 May 1995  
From CSETI Project Starlight - Steven M. Greer, M.D.  
Re: Meeting of Witnesses and Executive Order
2. Project Starlight Strategy: Presidential Amnesty for ET/UFO Witnesses  
10 February 1995
3. Points Supporting an Executive Order to Release witnesses from national security oaths and to declassify documents related to UFOs/ETI  
20 March 1995
4. Memo to the Clinton Administration - 17 March 1995  
Re: Executive Order Releasing UFO/ETI Witnesses and Documents
5. UFO/ETI Disinformation: Implications for National Security and International Security Policy Makers - Steven M. Greer, M.D.  
15 November 1994
6. Summary of Points regarding Project Starlight for the Clinton Administration  
Hand Delivered to DCI James Woolsey  
13 December 1993
7. Vancouver Island, British Columbia - 8 October 1981  
Photo of Aerial Disc and summary of analysis
8. Costa Rica - 4 September 1971  
Photo of Aerial Disc and summary of analysis
9. Central Intelligence Report - 3 August 1962  
From James Angleton  
Re: Marilyn Monroe
10. Transcript of Testimony -William Holden, Presidential Steward for Kennedy on Air Force One  
Re: Conversation in June 1993
11. From: Col. Dalton - CINCNORAD - Commander in Chief - 11 November 1975  
To: Secretary of Defense  
Re: Unknown Air Activity



12. Letter from Walter B. Smith, Director of Central Intelligence - 20 April 1977  
To: Director, Psychological Strategy Board  
Re: Psychological Warfare and UFOs
13. Letter from Astronaut Gordon Cooper - 9 November 1978  
To: UN Ambassador for Grenada  
Re: Validity of Extraterrestrial Spacecraft and their crews
14. Memo from Wilbur L. Mitchell, Mathematician, Data Reduction Unit - 13 July 1950  
To: Commanding Officer - AF Cambridge Research Laboratory  
Re: Sightings of aerial phenomena on 27 April and 24 May 1950
15. Memo from Headquarter 81st Combat Support Group - New York - 13 January 1981  
Re: Unexplained Lights and Trace Landing Marks
16. Excerpts from Reports from SAC - 29 October 1975  
Re: "Defense against helicopter assault"
17. Extracts from Norad Command Director's Log 29 October - 23 November 1975  
Extracts 24 NORAD Region - Senior Director Log 7 November - 19 November 1975  
National Military Command Center - 21 January 1976 - Re: Sightings at Cannon AFB  
National Military Command Center - 31 January 1976 - Re: Sightings at Eglin AFB  
National Military Command Center - 30 July 1976 - Re: Sightings at Fort Ritchie
18. From Air Force Special Security Office - 18 July 1955 Re: Sightings
19. Memorandum to the Controller of Telecommunications -Ottawa, Ontario, 21 November 1950  
From: Wilbert B. Smith, Senior Radio Engineer  
Re: Flying Saucers (referring to information received from US Government)
20. Letter from Dr. Robert Sarbacher - 29 November 1983  
To: William Steinman  
Re: Crashed saucer studies and research projects
21. Authorized Publication of Documents from the Belgian Ministry of Defence - 5 July 1990  
article by Marie-Therese de Broses in "Paris-Match"
22. Report from Office of the AC of S, G-2, Headquarters , Fourth Army, Fort Sam Houston, TX - 13 January 1949  
Re: Summary of Sightings
23. Air Intelligence Information Report - 14 October 1955  
Re: "Eye Witness account of the ascent and flight of an unconventional aircraft in the Trans Caucasus region of the USSR"

# Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

## Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001a. list	Summary of Letters and Documents [partial] (1 page)	n.d.	P6/b(6)

### COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records  
NSC Records Management  
([Flying Saucer or UFO])  
OA/Box Number: 599

### FOLDER TITLE:

9506127

2006-0474-F  
eh116

### RESTRICTION CODES

#### Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

#### Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]



24. CIC, FAO #8, Knoxville, TN - 15 October 1950  
Re: Objects sighted over Oak Ridge
25. Memo from Assistant Director of Scientific Intelligence - 20 April 1977  
To: Director of Central Intelligence  
Re: Flying Saucers and the intelligence problems they pose
26. Memo from Assistant Director of Scientific Intelligence - 20 April 1977  
To: Director of Central Intelligence  
Re: How to address issue of "Flying Saucers" and the implications
27. Federal Aviation Administration - 6 January 1987  
Statement of Air Traffic Control Specialist , Carl Henley re Sighting
28. Air Intelligence Report No. 100-203-79 - 10 December 1948  
"Analysis of Flying Object Incidents in the United States"
29. Report on Sightings at Kirtland AFB - 8 August - 3 September 1980
30. Report from Joint Staff - Washington DC - March 1990  
Re: UFO issue and Belgium
31. Report from Joint Chiefs of Staff, Message Center - 3 June 1980  
Sightings in Peru
32. Memo from JCS - September 1976  
Re: Sighting of UFO in Iran 19 September 1976
33. Memo from Bolling AFB - November 1980  
Re: Request for photo imagery interpretation of unidentified object
34. Article by Captain Henry S. Shields, HQ USAFE/INOMP  
Re: Sightings in Iran - late 1976
35. CSETI Briefing Document - including:  
CSETI Comprehensive Assessment  
Foundation of Interplanetary Unity  
Summary Research Results  
Research Reports

This is only a small sampling of the documents and evidence we have collected.

CSETI

P.O. Box 15401

Asheville, NC 28813

Phone: (b)(6)

Fax: 704-274-6766

CLINTON LIBRARY PHOTOCOPY

# Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

## Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001b. letter	Steven M. Greer to President Clinton, re: Meeting and Executive Order. (partial) (1 page)	05/08/95	P6/b(6)

### COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records  
NSC Records Management  
([Flying Saucer or UFO])  
OA/Box Number: 599

### FOLDER TITLE:

9506127

2006-0474-F  
eh116

### RESTRICTION CODES

#### Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

#### Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

C S E T I PO Box 15401 Asheville NC 28813

MEMO  
8 May 1995

TO: President Bill Clinton

FROM: CSETI Project Starlight, Steven M. Greer M.D.

RE: Meeting and Executive Order

Please find enclosed several documents previously conveyed to the Administration via the Director of Central Intelligence (James Woolsey), the President's Science Advisor and Mr. Bruce Lindsey regarding the UFO/ETI subject.

As mentioned previously, we feel that a strategy leading to disclosure on this subject should be urgently pursued, and we are requesting a meeting with relevant Administration officials as soon as possible. Astronaut Gordon Cooper, Apollo Astronaut Dr. Brian O'leary, John F. Kennedy Chief Steward for Air Force One, Bill Holden, a prominent NASA scientist, and others could attend such a meeting.

Our team has had recent conversations with former Air Force Office of Special Investigations and Naval Intelligence officers and other senior military personnel which indicate that current handling of this subject constitutes a threat to the national security, to the basic structures of constitutional democracy, and specifically to the integrity of the United States Constitution.

Long term trends towards increasingly covert, quasi-privatized, 'black-budget' management of this subject, combined with a concomitant increase in disinformation and public deception, must be arrested and reversed. Neither the Congress nor relevant and responsible military, intelligence and Administration officials are receiving honest and reliable information and assessments of this situation. Legal and constitutionally sanctioned control over this subject appears to have been diminished, if not completely lost, and this has created a dynamic of covert activities and programs which threaten the national security.

Multiple military intelligence sources have independently confirmed to us the use of experimental, SDI related weapons against extraterrestrial spacecraft, with at least two successful hits. If this has even a remote chance of being true, it would indicate the dire need for a reversal of the covert management of this subject, and the need for the public and the international community to dialogue on this subject in a sane and rational manner. Very senior members of the U.N. community with whom we have met concur with this assessment.

Who speaks for planet earth? We feel that the people of earth should, not a small covert



operation which, without consultation, controls a subject which affects the entire planet in vast and profound ways.

We feel that the Administration should take decisive steps to regain constitutional and accountable control over this matter, and that a strategy should be developed to effect a well-thought out disclosure.


We have located a number of military and intelligence witnesses to this subject who require a Presidential Executive Order releasing them from their national security oaths and obligations on this subject (see enclosed memo of 10 February 1995). We are urgently requesting that this be done as soon as possible. If there are no substantial disclosures resulting from these witnesses, then the subject may be put to rest. However, if what we have learned in confidence is true, then these witnesses can confirm the existence of extraterrestrial life forms visiting this planet, and help to move this matter into the public domain for dialogue and international consultation, thus effectively ending the exclusive covert control.

CSETI, Mr. Laurance Rockefeller, Astronaut Gordon Cooper, as well as several other prominent astronauts and military figures will be convening a meeting of witnesses on June 2, 3 and 4, 1995, and we invite the Administration to send an observer or participant to this meeting. If this would be possible, please contact Dr. Steven Greer at (b)(6) or Mr. Kevin Foley at (b)(6).  
(b)(6)

A subject this profound in its implications for life on earth must not be allowed to be the exclusive domain of a covert group which is accountable to neither the public nor recognized governmental authorities. For secret and covert processes are at great risk for taking on a life of their own, and in doing so threaten the security of our nation and of the world. We appeal to the President, the National Security Advisor and others in the Administration to take decisive steps to effect disclosure on this subject prior to the 1996 election year. In doing so, a great service will be rendered to the world and its peoples, and this important matter will rightly become the focus of the world community. We are acutely aware of the courage needed to face this challenge, but we are equally aware of the risks to world peace and democracy of doing nothing.

Please be assured that our hopes and our prayers are with you.

Sincerely,



Steven M. Greer M.D.  
Director of CSETI

Phone: (b)(6) FAX: 704-274-6766

## PROJECT STARLIGHT STRATEGY: PRESIDENTIAL AMNESTY FOR ET/UFO WITNESSES

### INTRODUCTION:

Part of the evidence being collected for Project Starlight involves the testimony of first hand, credible witnesses to ET events, including ET spacecraft retrieval and R and D operations, certain historical military and intelligence operations ( such as military jet pursuits of ET craft and gun camera footage) and NASA related space events. A number of these witnesses have been identified, all of whom have signed various national security oaths related to these operations.

While some of these witnesses are reluctantly willing to testify in violation of these oaths, most are not, and have requested that we pursue the granting of amnesty ( from prosecution for the violation of these oaths ). A number of these individuals are heroes of our country; they are senior government, military and astronomical figures who are convinced that the time has come for the truth about ETI/UFOs to be told. But they are also afraid of reprisals against them if they testify without a prior release from their national security oaths. The minimum we owe these courageous people is an executive order granting them permission to testify publicly about their historical involvement with this subject.

As outlined in previous Project Starlight strategy documents, the testimony of these individuals will be combined comprehensively with other high level evidence, including ET craft metal samples, daylight and unambiguous photographs and videotapes, government documents, and military and civilian pilot cases of strong evidential integrity. These combined data will constitute the Briefing Document, which will be used to brief world leaders, scientific panels, religious leaders, and eventually, the public.

### RATIONALE FOR AN EXECUTIVE ORDER:

Normally, release from a national security oath, especially top secret, special access projects, requires a specific release from the agency or department granting the classification clearance . Unfortunately, from a practical point of view, this is logistically not feasible because UFO/ETI witnesses:

- >Have received clearances from multiple and diverse agencies and departments
- >Were associated with entities of the Government which do not currently have involvement in this area

Therefore, it would take years, and perhaps decades for all of these witnesses to be individually released from these obligations from all of the various granting entities, assuming these entities could be identified or would be willing to cooperate.



Neither the Congress nor the courts would have appropriate powers or jurisdiction to release these individuals for public testimony/ disclosure.

Because of these factors, the only timely and appropriate means for obtaining protection for these potential witnesses is at the level of the President of the United States, via executive order.

#### STRATEGIC POLITICAL CONSIDERATIONS:

As set out in Project Starlight documents, and as previously presented to Director of Central Intelligence Woosley, it is our sincere desire to orchestrate this disclosure process and announcement in a unifying and non-political manner. Indeed, it is our intention that the process be as global and as inclusive as possible, taking care not to alarm or offend any culture or government. Our overall strategy is designed so that this disclosure will exert a positive, unifying effect on humanity, thus transcending the usual fractured surface of human life, while simultaneously establishing the foundation for future inter-planetary relations.

As mentioned in an earlier Briefing Document for the Clinton Administration, given to DCI Woolsey on 13 December 1993, the historical connection of the US Government to this subject will come out during the disclosure process. The Clinton Administration would be best served by positioning itself so that it would appear to be cooperative in the disclosure process. Otherwise, it may be unfairly accused of 'cover-up', even though current operations related to ETI are largely privatized, or 'black budget' activities, and not directly linked to the President. Nevertheless, as legal head of the US Government, the President will be viewed as either a protector of the status quo, or a courageous leader who, at the minimum, facilitated the disclosure of this material.

Since most current operations are largely privatized and/or 'black', and probably beyond practical Presidential access, the most effective action for the President to take would be to :

1. Issue an Executive Order releasing individuals from their national security oaths related to this subject, as it pertains to historical involvement before 1975 or 1980.
2. Issue an Executive Order releasing from all Government Agencies and Departments all documents related to this subject which date from the above period (ie prior to 1975 or 1980)

Since some of these witnesses are willing to come forward even without an executive order, and since we possess adequate evidence to make a definitive case for the existence of these spacecraft, which will be presented in the worldwide media, it is in the best interests of the United States, its government and the President to move decisively in the direction of cooperation.

Doing so would not only be the fair and just thing to do, but would position the President



favorably both domestically and globally. Such cooperation may even be viewed heroically by a majority of people.

Alternatively ( and unfortunately) recent information obtained by us indicates that a nonpartisan approach to this problem may be possible for only a relatively short time. A member of our Strategy Team, in a direct, personal conversation with a well known astronaut, learned that this astronaut was approached recently by the chairman of the Republican National Committee (Barbour). Barbour asked him to join a group of republicans who were planning to 'divulge all the evidence about UFOs and ETI' to the public in the very near future. As of Feb. 1, we have learned that about 12 astronauts, and various other officials have been approached.

This is an ominous and disturbing development, since it appears that our international, nonpartisan and principled approach to this may be pre-empted ( or co-opted) by a partisan initiative which would have both overt and covert agendas, none of them very redeeming. Moreover, such an initiative could be used to embarrass the President in particular, and the US Government in general. This may especially be true if the President appears either uninformed on the subject, or uncooperative in providing 'amnesty' to the witnesses who we are working with, and who are now being aggressively courted by the RNC.

Fortunately, the astronaut in question was shocked and dubious that the RNC Chairman would approach him on this subject, and we believe that he is wise enough to know that this process should not fall prey to partisan political exploitation. We are not, however, sure that such resolve and sensibilities will prevail indefinitely.

Another dimension to this is the upcoming Presidential elections in 1996. The RNC and various covert cells may wish to deprive a sitting Democratic President of the honor of presiding over the release of this information, and instead would do this in a way which would be humiliating to the President and 'government bureaucrats'. We believe that we must move swiftly to secure an executive order and obtain the cooperation of these witnesses before any RNC controlled disclosure occurs. A rumored date for this RNC event is May 1995.

We would strongly recommend that the White House move decisively to work closely, and yet privately, with the Starlight Coalition, which now has the bipartisan support of respected scientists, astronauts, and civilian leaders both here and abroad.

#### RECOMMENDATIONS:

In summary, we would recommend the following course of action:

1. Senior Strategists of Project Starlight should meet as soon as possible with relevant Clinton Administration officials to brief them on the complexities of the current situation and the subject matter in general;

2. The President should issue an Executive Order specifically releasing current and former US Government officials and employees from their national security agreements related to UFO and ET events prior to 1980 or 1975. This executive order may be held in confidence and shown, in person, to those requiring assurance that they will not be punished for disclosing information related to historical information on this subject.

3. We would recommend further that an Executive Order be issued ordering the release to the Project Starlight coalition of government documents pre-dating the above date which deal with this subject. Relevant agencies include the National Reconnaissance Office, the CIA, the NSA, the AFOSI, DARPA, the FBI, the DIA, ONI and other governmental entities as warranted.

4. A contingency plan involving the President should be devised in case various covert entities and the RNC succeed in unilaterally disclosing this information in such a way that is harmful to national security, world security or the general common good. We have reason to reach the tentative assessment that such a disclosure would be 'spun' in such a way as to precipitate marked instability, and world wide alarm, and would attempt to falsely present to the public the idea of an extraterrestrial threat requiring a space-based military response. The threat to national and world stability arising from such a scenario should not be underestimated by the Administration. (See 'UFO/ETI Disinformation: Implications for National Security and International Security Policy Makers, A Confidential Briefing, 15 Nov. 1994, Steven M. Greer M.D.)

Dr. Greer and other senior Project Starlight strategists would welcome a meeting to pursue these issues as soon as possible.

END BRIEFING

Steven M. Greer M.D.  
Director of CSETI  
10 February 1995



POINTS SUPPORTING AN EXECUTIVE ORDER TO RELEASE  
WITNESSES FROM NATIONAL SECURITY OATHS  
AND TO DECLASSIFY DOCUMENTS  
RELATED TO UFOs/ETI

> I. Such an Executive Order (EO) would permit witnesses to speak openly about the historical aspects of the subject without fear of legal action against them. If no substantive information is forthcoming from such witnesses, then at least the 'air would be cleared' on this issue, and if major disclosures are forthcoming then an end to inappropriate secrecy would be effected.

>II. Should significant disclosures occur without an EO, the President would be viewed in one of two equally damaging ways:

/ If the President and Executive Branch of the US Government maintain that they did not know anything about a subject this important, Presidential stature and credibility would be significantly damaged.

/If the President and Executive Branch maintain after such an unsanctioned disclosure that they knew of the subject, but were not connected to the disclosures, the President may be accused of supporting a cover-up, which would be unfair in light of the actual facts of how this subject has been covertly handled.

>III. An EO would restore public faith in government in the long run, since such an order would be seen as an act of support of democratic principles, as opposed to the maintenance of the covert status quo.

>IV. The EO would fully utilize the powers of the Presidency to terminate certain 'black projects' activities which appear to be operating out of the constitutional chain of command. While certain aspects of reverse engineering of extraterrestrial technology ( and other matters) may not be fully accessed through this EO, it would enable definitive witness testimony to be disclosed. Efforts to keep the President, the Executive Branch in general and the Congress 'out of the loop' would thereby be neutralized.

>V. Provided that the disclosure process (as conceived by Project Starlight) avoids xenophobic, fear-inducing and militaristic overtones, the EO would set events in motion which would usher in a new era of global awareness and unity. The world community could begin the long awaited dialogue on the implications and promises of initiating early interplanetary relations.

>VI. In summary, the risks of not providing an Executive Order on this issue far outweigh the risks of doing so. The benefits of such an issuance are numerous and far-reaching, and would be viewed as a just and moral Presidential act by peoples around the world.

20 March 1995/ Steven M. Greer M.D., CSETI.



---

**Center for the Study of Extraterrestrial Intelligence**

---

**Steven M. Greer, M.D.  
International Director**

17 March 1995

MEMO

TO: The Clinton Administration

FROM: Steven M. Greer M.D., CSETI Project Starlight

RE: Executive Order Releasing UFO/ETI Witnesses and Documents

We have received approval from Mr. Laurance Rockefeller for the sponsorship and funding for a high level meeting of military, astronautic and government witnesses concerning the UFO/ETI matter. This meeting will take place in May 1995 in the United States, and will be designed to obtain first hand testimony from senior military and government witnesses.

We have received commitments from various participants, including a well-known astronaut with first hand exposure to the subject.

In advance of this meeting we feel that it would be prudent to explore the implications of this meeting and subsequent disclosures with the Administration, and to secure an Executive Order releasing these witnesses from their National Security Oaths/Obligations. We feel that these developments should go forward in a cooperative manner with the executive branch of the U.S. Government, and thus are requesting a brief initial meeting with relevant members of the Administration.

Please advise as soon as possible concerning how we should proceed with this matter.

Respectfully,

Steven M. Greer M.D.  
Director of CSETI



# UFO/ETI DISINFORMATION: IMPLICATIONS FOR NATIONAL SECURITY AND INTERNATIONAL SECURITY POLICY MAKERS

## A CONFIDENTIAL BRIEFING

15 NOVEMBER 1994

**Abstract:** In advance of a confirmed announcement concerning the detection of extraterrestrial life forms, it is important for national and international security policy makers to be aware of the risks to the decision-making process created by certain types of sophisticated disinformation and covert interventions. These include, but are not limited to: Induction of psychoelectronic experiences, mass psychological conditioning with false information, targeting of certain key leaders with individualized disinformation, and the staging of a false yet convincing extraterrestrial threat. Awareness of this by key policy makers would help minimize the risk of unfortunate events resulting from policy decisions influenced unduly by this type of disinformation.

**Background:** CSETI Project Starlight efforts directed towards the public confirmation of the detection of extraterrestrial life forms (see Briefing Document Draft) has resulted in our discovering a number of covert activities which may jeopardize decision making within the national and international security communities. Since some of these decision making processes may be adversely affected well in advance of a public announcement on this subject, we feel morally bound to issue this briefing to advise policy makers of certain risks. Failure by certain key policy makers ( especially the White House, the National Security Council , the Joint Chiefs of Staff and the U.N. Security Council) to compensate adequately for these risks could result in the adoption of policies and actions harmful to world security and to the future of interplanetary relations. This briefing is designed to provide information regarding specific disinformation processes and their related risks to national and international security.

**Overview:** Disinformation is false information which is deliberately provided to groups or individuals for the purpose of obfuscating an issue, and/or effecting a specific psychological response (such as denial, disbelief, fear, ridicule etc). Covert attempts designed to minimize accurate knowledge of UFOs/ETI have used disinformation and psychological warfare techniques for over 40 years, and these techniques and related technologies have become increasingly sophisticated and effective, especially since the 1960s. The most effective disinformation is information which is related to a truth, at least in part, but which is then embellished and deliberately distorted by combining disinformation with the information. The presence of a minimal but adequate degree of truth enables the false information to be more readily accepted, or for the intended psychological response to occur.

Disinformation may be divided into two broad categories: Passive Disinformation, which is false information placed into individual or public awareness via conventional communication means; and Active Disinformation, which involve false experiences which are induced and which result in a very sophisticated manipulation of individual and group perspectives and experiences.



**Passive Disinformation Examples:** Denial of UFO reports; providing false data concerning UFO events; engaging certain scientists or authorities to ridicule the subject matter and its proponents; false, misleading or slanderous information about UFO researchers and organizations; providing information to the public which induces fear, denial, skepticism or repulsion, etc.

**Active Disinformation Examples:** Hoaxing of certain events to entrap or embarrass researchers or organizations; use of active psychoelectronic devices to induce false but very convincing experiences which are designed to control individual and public attitudes towards ETI (abductions); use of technologies to simulate a false extraterrestrial hostile action, to affect both policy makers and the general public.

Early covert operations relied primarily on passive disinformation. However, as more evidence of ETI activity made its way into the public domain and awareness, in the 1960s or '70s, active disinformation began to play a more dominant role. Most of the active disinformation currently in use is designed to :

1. Create a scenario which induces fear or rejection of the phenomenon
2. Bury real UFO/ETI activity under a mountain of superficially similar yet qualitatively different false events, especially so-called abductions and 'cattle mutilations'.
3. Influence public perception and governmental policy makers to conclude (falsely) that there is an "extraterrestrial threat" which we must fight.

Active disinformation has become more effective as increasingly sophisticated technologies have been developed and perfected in the U.S. and former USSR.

Covert special operations, funded by 'black budget' and private sources, have effectively dominated and polluted the data base in two popular areas:

1. Abductions - Alleged abductions of humans by UFOs have been reported since the 1960s. We doubt that 'abductions' as they are currently understood are actually occurring, but that a very small number of people have been taken on board ET craft for reasons not yet fully known. However, no evidence exists to suggest that such events are harmful or of a hostile nature. Unfortunately, like a true gold nugget hidden under a mountain of fools gold, the real on board cases have been buried beneath a large volume of human induced pseudo-abduction events, designed to hide the real events under the cover of false ones, and to affect negatively public perception and credibility of the phenomenon. These events are being induced by advanced psychoelectronic technologies which can remotely target an individual or more than one person and create a convincing but false ET experience.

The existence of M ULTRA and other technologies has been confirmed to us by certain military, intelligence and high technology sources who contract with government agencies. These psychoelectronic devices are much more advanced and operational than most would imagine, and have gone through several generations of evolution and improvement. (See The Controllers: A New Hypothesis of Alien Abduction by Martin Cannon, and enclosed bibliography)



Policy makers must not be deceived by these reports, nor should policy making be influenced in any way by them. Since the data base is hopelessly contaminated by these falsely induced events, it cannot be relied on as a source of reliable information for policy formulation. We have learned that a number of researchers in this area have similarly concluded that most (80-90% or more) of abduction accounts are disinformation, or misidentification of other unusual phenomena.

2. Cattle 'mutilations' : Alleged cattle experiments by ET craft (misnamed 'mutilations' for disinformation purposes) have been reported since the 1960s. The primary scientists and researchers in this area have confirmed to us that at least 80% of these cases are actually decoy cases created by covert operations and have nothing to do with UFO/ETI activity. Our assessment is that the real cases are understandable environmental and genetic research related to radiation and chemical pollution and their effect on advanced mammalian systems. We would seriously doubt a sinister or hostile motive behind these relatively rare actual occurrences, and feel that the false decoy cases are designed to create disinformation, to conceal the actual cases and to create an atmosphere of fear around the subject. Policy makers should not be adversely influenced by these reports, or deceived by them in any way.

Policy makers need to be aware of the potential for advanced technological active disinformation, both as it affects data bases and as it could potentially be targeted towards policy makers themselves. We would caution against undue fear in this regard, but do feel that senior policy makers should be aware of the potential for this type of disinformation and how it could be directed at them, which include both active and passive targeting.

Simulated ET Events: We have also learned that technologies exist to support the simulation of false hostile ET events, designed specifically to influence policy makers in a time of crisis, or to 'spin' any announcement and disclosure process in the direction of uniting the world against a 'common alien threat'. Military and civilian policy makers must not be deceived by such events, since the stakes are enormous, and decisions based on such pseudo-UFO events would likely result in negative actions which would be unwarranted. The vast and profound implications of our future relations with extraterrestrial civilizations must not be influenced by this type of disinformation, since it would be designed to place the earth on a path of inter-planetary conflict and would subvert the potential for future peaceful and beneficial relations.

In summary, a number of advanced disinformation modalities exist which policy makers need to take into consideration as they evaluate data or receive briefings from traditionally covert sources. CSETI and its affiliated scientists and researchers are committed to obtaining reliable data by way of direct, real time observation of and interaction with the ETI phenomenon. We feel that empirical research of this type (see Briefing Document Draft) is more useful, accurate and reliable than other secondary means which are prone to disinformation contamination. Should you wish to make further inquiries into the CSETI research findings or assessment, please feel free to contact the Director of CSETI, Steven M. Greer M.D. at 704-274-5671. We are available to you to provide a confidential on-site briefing regarding this subject if this is desired.

END BRIEFING

THE CENTER FOR THE STUDY OF EXTRATERRESTRIAL INTELLIGENCE

# CSETI

## SUMMARY OF POINTS REGARDING PROJECT STARLIGHT FOR THE CLINTON ADMINISTRATION

STRICTLY CONFIDENTIAL

*Personally given to  
DCI Woolsey  
13 Dec '93*

**Steven M. Greer, M.D.  
International Director**



## **SUMMARY OF POINTS REGARDING PROJECT STARLIGHT FOR THE CLINTON ADMINISTRATION**

PROJECT STARLIGHT is a program spearheaded by CSETI (The Center for the Study of Extraterrestrial Intelligence) to educate world leadership and the world's population regarding the reality of UFOs/ETI. Key points include:

- It is our sincere intention to cooperate with the U.S. government, the United Nations, and other governments, and to conduct the briefing process and the Announcement so that neither the Administration nor the government in general is harmed. We invite active U.S. government participation in this process and feel that for a number of reasons it is in the best interests of the Administration to do so.
- Project Starlight has commitments of assets, both evidential and in the form of key first-hand, credible witnesses to make an undeniable case for the extraterrestrial origin of these objects. These assets include day and nighttime videotape footage, photographs, radar tapes, pilot cases, landing trace cases, and the important testimony of former government and military officials from the U.S., Europe, and Russia who have knowledge of this subject, in several instances including knowledge of spacecraft wreckage and extraterrestrial bodies. These witnesses are willing to testify publicly before major media.
- Project Starlight has access to and offers of cooperations from major media, both print and electronic. These are respected mainstream media sources, not "tabloid" type.
- We are working with other leaders in society, here and abroad, who concur that now is the time to change current government policy to one of increasing openness and disclosure of evidence on this subject.
- The ending of the Cold War and the beginning of a new Administration provides a unique "window of opportunity" for such a change in policy and for the cooperative disclosure of evidence (See position paper "Strategies and Rationale for Changing Government Policy".)



- To reduce the potential for a panic reaction in society, the Announcement should be spearheaded by civilian researchers and scientists. A government announcement alone may appear abrupt and alarming.
- Failure to effect a change in policy and to provide disclosure on this subject by the end of 1994 may result in regrettable outcomes for the Administration because:
  1. The window of opportunity will have been lost, insofar as the end of the Cold War would be a relatively distant event and the new President and his appointed officials would have lost the protective aspect of being new to their responsibilities.
  2. Given the current increasing trend in extraterrestrial activity and increasingly successful CSETI attempts to establish contact with these spacecraft (See CSETI Briefing Document), there is a very high probability that a major UFO event of a publicly undeniable nature will transpire before the current President's term expires in January, 1997. A controlled and well thought out Announcement and disclosure of evidence is much preferred over an uncontrolled and surprising event. While the former, if handled wisely, could have a unifying and beneficial effect on humanity, the latter would very likely have a negative and very destabilizing effect. Therefore, the highest risks are associated with non-disclosure.
  3. By 1995, re-election concerns and other factors would make this process of disclosure increasingly risky due to the competitive and divisive nature of political campaigns.
  4. Other governments are moving in the direction of disclosure on this subject, and the Japanese government is funding and has plans to open a major museum on UFOs/ETI in 1996, before the current President's term expires.
  5. Other civilian UFO groups who have a decidedly confrontative approach to the U.S. government are building a base of both congressional and grass roots support which may prove embarrassing to the government and military in the U.S. In contrast, Project Starlight is committed to working cooperatively with the U.S. government and avoiding language or evidence which would be harmful or embarrassing.



6. There are other civilian groups which are framing this topic in alarmist, xenophobic and potentially conflict-oriented ways which will prove harmful to world stability and to the world's "consciousness." This potentially harmful trend would be contained by Project Starlight, which is committed to presenting this information in a scientific, non-alarming and hopeful manner.

- We have learned that the other nations of the world are looking to the U.S. for leadership on this subject. Providing that leadership on a subject this important would strengthen the Administration's stature in the Post-Cold War era.
- Insofar as a majority of Americans hold that UFOs are real and are probably interplanetary spacecraft, the President would be viewed in a positive, courageous and possibly even heroic light for cooperating with such an Announcement and briefing process. Similarly, the public would become convinced that a new era had truly begun where government could be trusted to tell the truth; such a perception could go a long way towards erasing the cynicism and mistrust which has developed since the assassination of Kennedy, the Vietnam War, Watergate and other scandals. It would certainly be convincing evidence that the Administration is sincere about building a "new covenant" with the people and would fulfill the promise of a new openness in government. Mr. Woolsey's comment on "Larry King Live" on November 30, 1993, that the Administration wished to "disclose historical material" in a spirit of new openness would be fulfilled by a cooperative relationship with Project Starlight.
- We are sincerely dedicated to fulfilling this project in a way which would be a positive event for humanity. We are acutely aware of the profound implications this project might have, both positive and negative, on humankind, in general, and on economic and other systems, in particular, and are therefore making all efforts to prevent a negative or alarmist tone from creeping into the message. U.S. government cooperation in this project would assist us in this goal, and specifically could serve to calm the financial markets in the period of the Announcement.
- A major media project, plus a major east coast U.S. newspaper will probably be completing large scale investigative reports on this subject in the next 12 months. These projects, which are very high quality and



credible, will be seen by millions and probably hundreds of millions of people. Along with Project Starlight, which will conduct briefings and an Announcement with or without government cooperation, this subject, and the U.S. government's connection to it, will be known by a very large segment of the population. The Administration would be best served by positioning itself in a cooperative, open, disclosing manner during this process. To do otherwise would be to increase suspicion and mistrust of government in general and the Administration in particular.

- Government cooperation and involvement with Project Starlight would afford government valuable input into the process, content and timing of these events. A passive or non-cooperative stance would of course have the opposite effect.
- In any case we do not desire, nor do we recommend, the disclosure of certain valid secret projects which are vital to national security or to future defense readiness, including projects at Nellis Air Force Base in area S4, which apparently deal with reverse engineering extraterrestrial technology for human applications.

Irrespective of the level of U.S. government involvement or cooperation, we wish to assure you that we intend to conduct this project in a way which will not harm the President, the military, the Government or the society in general. However, due to the high likelihood of extraterrestrial-human interactions in general, and extraterrestrial contact by CSETI research teams specifically, which may be imminent, we are committed to fulfilling this project within the coming year. Furthermore, we are carefully and systematically thinking through what needs to be included in an extended effort that will assure that the response to this Announcement is positive and full of hope. We welcome and invite your participation.



## **SUGGESTED AVENUES OF GOVERNMENT COOPERATION WITH PROJECT STARLIGHT**

1. Letter of introduction to foreign governments to facilitate Briefing process and to coordinate worldwide Announcement.
2. Release from obligations of security oaths for government witnesses who wish to provide testimony on this subject.
3. Logistical support in the Briefing and Announcement process.
4. Statement or video-taped message from the President to be used at Briefings and/or Announcement.
5. Release to Project Starlight specific evidence including:
  - a. gun camera footage
  - b. photos
  - c. movies
  - d. objects of extraterrestrial origin
  - e. slides of tissue samples from extraterrestrial bodies

## CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY

This document contains information which is the property of the United States Government and is loaned to you by the Central Intelligence Agency. It is to be used only for the purposes for which it is loaned and is not to be distributed outside your agency.

~~TOP SECRET~~

NOT FOR PUBLICATI

COUNTRY New York, US

SUBJECT

Marilyn Monroe

REPORT NO.

DATE DISTR 3 August 1962

NO PAGES

REFERENCES FROM DUST Project 4

3 August 1962

EVALUATED INFORMATION SOURCE OF INFORMATION DISSEMINATION

Wiretap of telephone conversation between Reporter [Dorothy Kilgallen] and her close friend, [Howard Rothberg] (A); from wiretap of telephone conversation of [Marilyn Monroe] and Attorney General Robert Kennedy (B). Appraisal of Contents:

MSM-FILES

1. Rothberg discussed the apparent break of subject with Kilgallen and the break up with the Kennedys. Rothberg told Kilgallen that she was attending Hollywood parties hosted by the "inner circle" among Hollywood's elite and was becoming the talk of the town again. Rothberg indicated in so many words, that she had secrets to tell, no doubt arising from her trysts with the President and the Attorney General. One such "secret" mentions the visit by the President at a secret air base for the purpose of inspecting things from outer space. Kilgallen replied that she knew what might be the source of visit. In the mid-fifties Kilgallen learned of secret effort by US and UK governments to identify the origins of crashed spacecraft and dead bodies, from a British government official. Kilgallen believed the story may have come from the New York Times in the late forties. Kilgallen said that if the story is true, it would cause a terrible embarrassment to Jack and his plans to have NASA put men on the moon.
2. Subject repeatedly called the Attorney General and complained about the way she was being ignored by the President and his brother.
3. Subject threatened to hold a press conference and would tell all.
4. Subject made reference to "bases" in Cuba and knew of the President's plan to kill Castro.
5. Subject made reference to her "diary of secrets" and what the newspapers would do with such disclosures.

~~TOP SECRET~~



Taped Testimony  
Bill Holden (BH) and Interviewer (RS)  
(date unknown)

Start:

BH: I was Presidential Steward for Kennedy, on Air Force One. I was on board with him in Europe in 1963, June of '63 when he did the *Ich bin ein Berliner*. He was very aware as far as the alien situation because we had ...we had talked about it because there was an article that came out as far as in Germany about the abductees, something had happened over in Germany. And that's where we were, and we were getting ready to fly to Berlin. And then my question was, very simply put, was do you believe in UFOs and aliens and all like that. And we were having a light conversation about it and we talked and come to find out, he was very knowledgeable about what was going on. And we talked very openly about it. He said, "yes, I have a lot of concern about this and it's been brought to my attention that we have a large number of aliens that have been accounted for."

RS: On the planet?

BH: Yeah.

RS: John Kennedy said this..?

BH: Right.

RS: ... to you?

BH: Right. And so that's why I'm saying that that was of concern, you know, as to what, you know, what was going on. And I said, "why don't we know anything about it?" I said, "why aren't we doing something about it, why aren't we visiting with them, why aren't we working with them, why doesn't anybody know about it?!" And he said, "it's out of my hands". I remember that. I remember that so distinctly. "It's out of my hands." And I don't know why. What he said in 1963 was, "I would like to bring it to the public".

RS: Did you hear him say that?

BH: Yes.

RS: He told you personally?

BH: Yes.

RS: Quote?

BH: Quote.

RS: Very carefully, what did he say?

BH: Basically, all I can say is that he..I said, "why not", remember when I was telling you this, "I wish it would come to the front." OK? "I wish it'd be out in the open" and all like this. He said "I do, too."

END





~~SECRET~~  
~~Security Information~~  
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY  
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR

ER - 3 - 2809

16P

1952?  
TABS NOT FOUNDDeclassified by 058275  
date 20 APR 1977

MEMORANDUM TO: Director, Psychological Strategy Board

SUBJECT: Flying Saucers

1. I am today transmitting to the National Security Council a proposal (TAB A) in which it is concluded that the problems connected with unidentified flying objects appear to have implications for psychological warfare as well as for intelligence and operations.

2. The background for this view is presented in some detail in TAB B.

3. I suggest that we discuss at an early board meeting the possible offensive or defensive utilization of these phenomena for psychological warfare purposes.

Walter B. Smith  
Director

Enclosure

14

~~SECRET~~  
~~Security Information~~THE WHITE HOUSE,  
WASHINGTON

July 13, 1953

TOP SECRET  
Security InformationFrom  
Twining Files  
Lib. of Congress  
J. Friedman

EYES ONLY

## MEMORANDUM FOR GENERAL TWINING

The President expects you to attend the Extraordinary Meeting of the National Security Council in the Broadcast Room of the White House, Thursday, July 16, at 9:00 A.M. The program will be explained in detail at the meeting. It is advisable not to plan any other engagements before 6:00 P.M. on that day.

Due to the nature of the Meeting, it is necessary to take special security precautions and to maintain absolute secrecy regarding participation in, as well as the substance



From the Blue Book Microfilm Record

UNCLAS

EEO 00.92/2

31 May 50

JUL 13 1950

SUBJECT: Aerial Phenomena

TO: Commanding Officer  
AF Cambridge Research Laboratory  
Attn: Base Directorate, Geophysical Research  
230 Albany St.,  
Cambridge, Massachusetts

1. Per request of Dr. A. O. Mirarchi, during recent visit to this base, the following information is submitted.

2. Sightings were made on 27 April and 24 May 1950 of aerial phenomena during morning daylight hours at this station. The sightings were made by Land-Air, Inc., personnel while engaged in tracking regular projects with Askania Photo-theodolites. It has been reported that objects are sighted in some number; as many as eight have been visible at one time. The individuals making these sightings are professional observers therefore I would rate their reliability superior. In both cases photos were taken with Askanias.

3. The Holloman AF Base Data Reduction Unit analyzed the 27 April pictures and made a report, a copy of which I am enclosing with the film for your information. It was believed that triangulation could be effected from pictures taken on 24 May because pictures were taken from two stations. The films were rapidly processed and examined by Data Reduction. However, it was determined that sightings were made on two different objects and triangulation could not be effected. A report from Data Reduction and the films from the sighting are enclosed.

4. There is nothing further to report at this time.

4 Incls:

- Incl #1-Data Red Rpt #1
- Incl #2-Data Red Report #2
- Incl #3-Film, P-10, 24 May 50
- Film, P-3, 24 May 50
- Film, P-10, 27 Apr 50
- Incl #4-Map of HAFB Range

Films and  
reports on  
UFO sightings

UNCLAS

Where are they?

Called  
Mirarchi  
Home  
#06, 1954  
617545  
1752

Loss  
deceased!

DOWNGRADED  
DECLASSIFIED  
POD DLR 12 YEARS

7-3712-29



UNCLASSIFIED

Objects observed following MX 776A test of 27 April 1950

2nd Lt

EHOSIR

15 May 50

1

1. According to conversation between Col. Baynes and Capt. Bryant, the following information is submitted directly to Lt. Albert.

2. Film from station P10 was read, resulting in azimuth and elevation angles being recorded on four objects. In addition, size of image on film was recorded.

3. From this information, together with a single azimuth angle from station M7, the following conclusions were drawn:

- The objects were at an altitude of approximately 150,000 feet.
- The objects were over the Holloman range between the base and Tularosa Peak.
- The objects were approximately 30 feet in diameter.
- The objects were traveling at an undeterminable, yet high speed.

WILBUR L. MITCHELL  
Mathematician  
Data Reduction Unit

WLM/rd  
ext 172

The other two movies were made at the White Sands Proving Grounds with Askania Cine theodolites—scientific tracking cameras for following guided missiles. The first was made on April 27, 1950. Shortly after a missile was fired and had soared into the atmosphere and fallen, someone spotted an object in the sky. The theodolites were hooked up by an intercom system, and several stations were instructed to try to get pictures. Unfortunately only one camera had film. The pictures showed a smudgy, dark object, not very well defined. It was moving.

EXTRACT RUPPERT TRUE MAB  
ARTICLE

C  
O  
P  
Y  
eps

DECLASSIFIED AFTER 10 YEARS.  
DOD DIR 6-30-10

UNCLASSIFIED



COPY

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE  
HEADQUARTERS 81ST COMBAT SUPPORT GROUP (USAF)  
APO NEW YORK 09755

REPLY TO  
ATTN OF: CO

13 Jan 81

SUBJECT: Unexplained Lights

TO: RAF/CC

1. Early in the morning of 27 Dec 80 (approximately 0300L), two USAF security police patrolmen saw unusual lights outside the back gate at RAF Woodbridge. Thinking an aircraft might have crashed or been forced down, they called for permission to go outside the gate to investigate. The on-duty flight chief responded and allowed three patrolmen to proceed on foot. The individuals reported seeing a strange glowing object in the forest. The object was described as being metallic in appearance and triangular in shape, approximately two to three meters across the base and approximately two meters high. It illuminated the entire forest with a white light. The object itself had a pulsating red light on top and a bank(s) of blue lights underneath. The object was hovering or on legs. As the patrolmen approached the object, it maneuvered through the trees and disappeared. At this time the animals on a nearby farm went into a frenzy. The object was briefly sighted approximately an hour later near the back gate.

2. The next day, three depressions 1 1/2" deep and 7" in diameter were found where the object had been sighted on the ground. The following night (29 Dec 80) the area was checked for radiation. Beta/gamma reading of 0.1 milliroentgens were recorded with peak readings in the three depressions and near the center of the triangle formed by the depressions. A nearby tree had moderate (.05-.07) readings on the side of the tree toward the depressions.

3. Later in the night a red sun-like light was seen through the trees. It moved about and pulsed. At one point it appeared to throw off glowing particles and then broke into five separate white objects and then disappeared. Immediately thereafter, three star-like objects were noticed in the sky, two objects to the north and one to the south, all of which were about 10° off the horizon. The objects moved rapidly in sharp angular movements and displayed red, green and blue lights. The objects to the north appeared to be elliptical through an 8-12 power lens. They then turned to full circles. The objects to the north remained in the sky for an hour or more. The object to the south was visible for two or three hours and beamed down a stream of light from time to time. Numerous individuals, including the undersigned, witnessed the activities in paragraphs 2 and 3.

(SIGNED)

CHARLES I. HALT, Lt Col, USAF  
Deputy Base Commander



# Total Military Inadequacy

28A  
SAC BASES

The UFO Task Forces exploratory operation between October 27th and November 10th, 1975, over the United States northern Strategic Air Command bases was published in ICUFON Green Memorandum 65-66 pages without documents.

Last year the US Air Force released some documents, but the key analyses and photographs were withheld.

The declassified CONFIDENTIAL reports on "UNIDENTIFIED FLIGHT ACTIVITY" are selfdiscriminative. It is a tragic disclosure that one of the world's largest military force's is totally helpless and inadequate in armed or unarmed confrontation of "allegedly" unidentifiable assaulting flight activity!

According to the reports, military personnel on active duty at the Strategic (ICBM) Missile Bases were unable to identify and disable a slow moving aerial vehicle - speculated as helicopter - which intruded, operated above the base area, and what is more, - returned!

## EXCERPTS FROM THE DECLASSIFIED REPORTS:

01 02 00 00 UUUU

292025 Z OCT 75

CINCSAC OFFUTT AFB NE/SP  
AFB

321SPS GRAND FORKS AFB ND/CC

42SPS LORING/ME/CC

91SPS MINOT AFB ND/CC

509SPS PEASE AFB ME/CC

341SPS MALMSTROM AFB MT/CC

380SPS PLATTSBURGH AFB NY/CC

92SPS FAIRCHILD AFB WA/CC

379SPS WURTSMITH AFB MI/CC

INFO: 8AF BARKSDALE AFB LA/SP

449SPS KINCHELOE AFB MI/CC

15AF MARCH AFB CA/SP.

410SPS K.I. SAWYER AFB MI/CC

45AD  
40AD  
57AD  
47AD

UNCLAS

SUBJECT: DEFENSE AGAINST HELICOPTER ASSAULT.

Major Madsker, SPOA, 3301, 29 Oct  
75/cp

WM. D. MYERS, Col, SP, 2146

UNCLASSIFIED

TAB A Atch 2

1. THE PAST TWO EVENINGS AT ONE OF OUR NORTHERN

TIER BASES AN UNIDENTIFIED HELICOPTER HAS BEEN OBSERVED

HOVERING OVER AND IN THE NEAR VICINITY OF THE WSA.





280

AREAS DURING THE HOURS OF DARKNESS HAVE PROMPTED THE IMPLEMENTATION OF SECURITY OPTION 3 AT OUR NORTHERN TIER BASES. SINCE 27 OCT 75, SIGHTINGS HAVE OCCURRED AT LORING AFB, Wurtsmith AFB, AND MOST RECENTLY, AT MALMSTROM AFB. ALL ATTEMPTS TO IDENTIFY THESE AIRCRAFT HAVE MET WITH NEGATIVE RESULTS.

2. WHILE AFFECTED BASES ARE NOW RETURNING TO NORMAL SECURITY POSTURE (AT THE OPTION OF THE LOCAL COMMANDER), ALL SAC SECURITY POLICE UNITS ARE REQUESTED TO MONITOR AND REPORT ALL SIGHTINGS OF UNIDENTIFIED AIRCRAFT/HELICOPTERS FLYING/HOVERING IN THE VICINITY OF WEAPONS STORAGE AREAS, AIRCRAFT ALERT AREAS AND MISSILE LAUNCH/LAUNCH CONTROL FACILITIES. AT THE DISCRETION OF LOCAL COMMANDERS, UNITS WILL IMPLEMENT AN APPROPRIATE SECURITY POSTURE UPON DETECTION OF SIMILAR CIRCUMSTANCES.

3. ACTIONS SHOULD ALSO BE TAKEN TO RE-ESTABLISH LIAISON WITH LOCAL LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES AND FEDERAL AVIATION AGENCIES WHICH COULD BE MOBILIZED TO ASSIST IN THE IDENTIFICATION OF UNIDENTIFIED AIRCRAFT/HELICOPTERS AT YOUR LOCATION. BASES SHOULD THOROUGHLY REVIEW AND INSURE ALL PERSONNEL ARE FAMILIAR WITH ACTIONS TO TAKE IN THE HELICOPTER DENIAL PORTION OF YOUR 207-XX PLAN.

4. FOR THE FOLLOWING UNITS ONLY: 423SPS, 509SPS, 380SPS, 370SPS, 449SPS, 410SPS, 432SPS, 91SPS, 341SPS, AND 92SPS. SECURITY OPTION III, IMPLEMENTED BY CINCSAC/ST 292025Z OCT 75, SAME SUBJECT, IS RESCINDED.

Capt Bowman, SPOA, #301, 10 Nov  
75/cp

R.F. MOTTLEY, Lt Col, SPO, 2534

UNCLASSIFIED

**AIR FORCE SPECIAL SECURITY OFFICE**  
Headquarters, Northeast Air Command  
APO 862 New York, N.Y.

SSO

18 July 1955

SUBJECT: (UNCLASSIFIED) UFO NEAC Area

TO: Commander  
USAF Security Service  
San Antonio, Texas

1. Reference to SSO NEAC message, Cite SOURCE-13, DTG 051200Z July and your message Cite OGP-X5547, DTG 122311Z July 1955. Following is more detailed information on sighting in the NEAC area in June and July as requested in your message.


2. Inclosures 1, 2, 3, and 4 are detailed reports prepared by Watch Division, NEAC, on the 1 to 6 July sightings made by RB-47 crews. D/I NEAC has not correlated these sightings with any known activity.

3. Inclosures 5, 6, and 7 are detailed reports on the 7 July sighting near Farnham AFB, Mo. Inclosure 6 contains the interrogation reports of the crews involved in the sighting. Inclosure 7, NEAC Weekly Intelligence MOPORN Digest contains a resume of the 7 July sighting and subsequent evaluation by D/I NEAC. Copies of all inclosures forwarded to DIRNSA.

7 Incls

1. RB-47 sighting #1
2. RB-47 sighting #2
3. RB-47 sighting #3
4. RB-47 sighting #4
5. 64th Air Div Msg DTG 051240Z Jul 55
6. 64th Air Div Msg DTG 070100Z Jul 55
7. Weekly Intell MOPORN Digest

DECLASSIFIED BY: ACS/Intelligence on 7 Sep 89.

  
C. NORMAN WOOD, Maj Gen,  
Asst Chief of Staff, Intelligence

55-544



ITEM 1. At 2100Z on 1 June, an eastbound RB-47 experienced electronic contact with an unknown aircraft or object in the area of Devon Island. A bright return was received on the APG-32 set for 20 minutes and the warning light flashed intermittently. The RB-47's X-system recorded what was possibly the sweep of another radar nearby. Contact was lost at 2120Z. No visual contact established.

On the return westbound flight, the APG-32 again had a contact for 30 minutes duration at approximately 8,000 yards. This time no indication was registered by the warning light. No visual contact made. ....

Coordinates and times as follows:

First contact (estimate)	74°40'E-103°00'W	2100Z	1 June
Contact lost	76°15'E-31°00'W	2120Z	1 June
Second contact (estimate)	74°30'E-50°30'W	2400Z	1 June
Contact lost	74°10'E-31°30'W	0030Z	2 June

## ITEM 2

At 0030Z on 4 June, a westbound RB-47 experienced electronic and visual contact with an unknown aircraft or object in the Kasilville Sound area. The RB-47 gun warning light was flashing intermittently and the 5 radar had a contact at 7,000 yards range. Visual contact was made by crew chief, who describes aircraft as glistening silver metallic. The aircraft was first seen low and to the rear of the RB-47. It maintained a position low and to the rear and slightly right of the RB-47. The configuration of the aircraft was obscured by contrails. The aircraft broke off contact to the north with an increase in speed. The RB-47 was at 32,000, indicating Mach .735. Although gun camera films are available, they are of such poor quality that no information can be obtained from them. The radar and visual contacts were maintained for a total of 9 minutes. ....

Coordinates and times are as follows:

Contact established	74°10'E - 107°10'W	0030Z	4 June
Contact lost	73°45'E - 111°35'W	0042Z	4 June

ITEM 3

At 0050Z on 7 June, a westbound RB-47 enroute to Eilson AFB, Alaska, at 35,000 feet experienced electronic contact southeast of Banks Island. The RB-47's APG-32 detected an aircraft/object at 3,500 yards and at the same level as the aircraft. The scope return was small and rectangular. The pilot interpreted it to be a form of jamming. The target warning light went on and off 3 times in as many minutes. No visual or K-system contacts.\*\*\*\*

Coordinates and times are as follows:

Contact established	71°02'N - 127°00'W	0050Z	7 June
Contact lost		0053Z	7 June (possibly later)

ITEM 4

At 2044Z on 8 June, an eastbound RB-47 flying at 30,000 feet experienced electronic and visual contact with an unknown aircraft south of Bathurst Island. The RB-47 was flying at 30,000 and indicating 450 knots. The warning light flashed and 2 indications were observed on APG-32 scope. The K-system scope showed indications of sweeping by another radar. Visual contact indicates aircraft was 5 to 10,000 feet above RB-47 and approximately 5-10 miles behind for approximately 20 minutes. The co-pilot of RB-47 states aircraft had fighter configuration. Contrail was observed as aircraft disappeared. Contrail also sighted by 2nd RB-47 approximately 80 miles behind 1st; therefore, about 70 miles behind the sighted aircraft. Distance suggests contrails remain visible for some time.\*\*\*\*

Coordinates and times are as follows:

Contact established	74°25'N - 103°25'W	2044Z	8 June
Contact lost		2140Z	8 June



DE JUNE 61

X 061200Z

FM COMER 64TH AIR DIV CEF PEPPERWELL AFB WFLD

TO RFLD/COMER AIR DEFENSE COMMAND EMT AFB COLO SPRG COLO  
RFLD/COMER AIR TECHNICAL INTELLIGENCE CENTER WRIGHT PAT AFB OHIO  
RFLD/CHIEF OF STAFF USAF WASH DC  
RFLD/COMER DISTRICT 15A FROTHIER NY NY  
RFLD/COMER NORTHWEST AIR COMMAND PEPPERWELL AFB WFLD  
RFLD/AIR OFFICER COMMANDING AIR DEFENSE COMMAND HQ 3 ST ROBERTS  
QUEBEC CANADA  
RFLD/AIR OFFICER COMMANDING MARITIME AIR COMMAND HALIFAX NOVA SCOTIA  
RFLD/COMER 93RD AIRCRAFT REFLAVIK AFB ICELAND  
RFLD/PLAC OFFICER ATLANTIC COAST HALIFAX NOVA SCOTIA  
AF OHIO  
BT

TOX 00973 ARCHIE 91 ARCHIE 29. OUT OF HARMON AFB ON REFUELING MISSION BACK TO  
HARMON. REPORTED SIGHTING OBJ AT 0705Z. XC 973 ALT 20,000 FT. WEATHER CLEAR.  
NO CLOUDS ABOVE AIRCRAFT. SCATTER CLOUDS AT 1000 FT. LEVEL NO REFLECTION  
POSSIBLE FROM CLOUDS. PILOT OF ARCHIE 29 IN E N SPEED. AIRCRAFT MADE CONTACT  
WITH RADAR SITE. SITE PAINTED OBJ ON SCOPE AT 0707Z. HELD INTERMITTENT CONTACT  
FOR 49 MIN. ARCHIE 29 IN POSITION TO CLOSE ON OBJ. INSTRUCTED TO DO SO BY SITE.  
POSITION OF OBJ 290 DEGREES FROM STATION AT APPROXIMATELY 30 MILES. FIRST APPEARANCE  
TO BE HOVERING OBJ AT 10 O'CLOCK POSITION RELATIVE TO AIRCRAFT ARCHIE 29 TRIED TO  
CLOSE. OBJ BEGAN TO MOVE SLOWLY N.E. 030 DEGREES T.E. RELATIVE TO SPEED OF  
ARCHIE 29, 275K. PILOT OF ARCHIE 29 MAINTAINED VISUAL CONTACT WITH OBJ CALLING  
DIRECTION CHANGES OF OBJ TO SITE BY RADIO DIRECTION CHANGES CORRELATED EXACTLY  
WITH THOSE PAINTED ON SCOPE BY CONTROLLER. OBJ BEGAN CLIMBING. ARCHIE 29 PILOT  
LOST VISUAL CONTACT WITH OBJ'S EST ALTITUDE 40-50,000 FT. OBJ THEN ACCELERATED  
TO SPEED OF ESTIMATED 1500 K AND MOVED OFF IN N.E. DIRECTION PAINTED ON SCOPE.  
FIGHTER SCRAMBLED WHEN OBJ STARTED TO CLIMB, BENT WEAPOFF ON FIGHTER. NO CONTACT  
MADE. RADAR OR VISUAL.

PAGE 1 OF 2 PAGES

#### PART II

AT SAME TIME RADAR SITE WAS ALSO PAINTING 5 SMALLER OBJ'S ON SCOPES 5-10,000 FT  
BELOW THE 00973 ARCHIE 29 AND ARCHIE 91. AT 300 DEGREES, 60 MILES FROM STATION  
OBJ'S MOVING VERY VERY FAST. CHANGING DIRECTION AND ALTITUDE JUMPING ON AND OFF AT  
FORMING CIRCULAR PATTERN. CHANGING TO LINE AHEAD. TRAVELING 10-20 MILES PER  
CHANGING DIRECTION CONTROLLER ESTIMATES SPEED IN EXCESS OF 1500 K. C119 AIRCRAFT  
ENROUTE FROM GOOSE PASSED WITHIN 5 MILES OF OBJ'S NOT KNOWN OF OBJ'S SEEN BY  
119 CREW. INTERVIEW OF CREW'S OF ARCHIE 29 ARCHIE 91 AND C119 BEING CONDUCTED  
BY BASE INTELL OFFICER HARMON AND COMPLETE REPORT TO BE SENT D/T 64TH A.D. FOLLOW-  
UP MESSAGE WILL BE SENT ALL ADDRESSES.

BT

06/1255Z JULY JUNE

FM COMR 64TH AIR DIV DEF PEPPERELL AFB MA  
TO ZEN/CCDR ADC ENT AFB COL SPRINGS COL  
ZEN/CCDR ATIC WHITE PAPER PATT AFB OHIO  
ZEN/3/1 HQ USAF WASH 25 DC  
ZEN/COMR CHIEF OF STAFF USAF WASH DC  
ZEN/COMRASTATION NEW YORK NY  
ZEN/COMINDEF ATTN CCG ST HUBERTS  
ZEN/COMINPAX HALIFAX NOVA SCOTIA  
ZEN/COMR 93RNB ACRON NEWLARK AFB IOWA  
ZEN/COMINLANT HALIFAX NOVA SCOTIA  
ZEN/COMR EASTERN AIR DEF COMAND STEWART AFB NEWBURG NY  
ZEN/COMR CONTINENTAL AIR DEF COMAND ENT AFB COL SPRINGS COL  
RUMER/COMR NMIC ATTN INTELLIGENCE

BT

FOLLOW UP REPORT OF PERSONNEL STATEMENTS. THIS ISC IS IN 6 PARTS.

PART 1. "I WAS AT THE CONTROLS AT THE TIME OF THE INITIAL SIGHTING OF THE OBJECT WHEN IT WAS CALLED TO THE ATTENTION OF THE CREW IN THE FORWARD PART OF THE COPT THAT THIS PARTICULAR OBJECT WAS MAKING ERRATIC MOTION IN THE SKY. AT THIS TIME, UPON SIGHTING THE OBJECT MYSELF, I DID NOTICE THAT THE OBJECT WAS MAKING A VERY IRREGULAR ZIG ZAG PATTERN AT A POSITION OF APPROX 10 O'CLOCK LOC ON THE HORIZON. OUR ALTITUDE AT THAT TIME WAS 16,000 FEET, OUR HEADING 125 DEGREES. THE OBJECT APPEARED TO HAVE THE SAME ALTITUDE OF OUR COPT. THE EASTERN SKY WAS JUST BEGINNING TO LIGHT UP, THE TIME BEING APPROX 0730Z, AND THE OBJECT WAS VISIBLE THROUGH WHAT LOOKED TO ME TO BE AN INVERSION LAYER. MY FIRST IMPRESSION WAS THAT IT WAS VERY LIKELY THE MORNING STAR OR VENUS AND THAT ITS ERRATIC MOTION MIGHT BE ATTRIBUTED TO REFRACTION AND OUR OWN RELATIVELY FORWARD



[REDACTED]

NOTION. HOWEVER AT THE TIME THAT 'VENGEANCE' WAS NOTIFIED AND CONFIRMED THAT THEY HAD PICKED UP 4 OR 5 TARGETS IN THIS SAME AREA, I BEGAN TO WONDER WHETHER IT WAS THE MORNING STAR OF SOMETHING ELSE. BETWEEN THE TIME OF THE INITIAL SIGHTING AND THE TIME THAT WE STARTED OUR LET-DOWN, WHICH WAS APPROXIMATELY 1/2 HOUR, IT IS MY ESTIMATE THAT THE OBJECT CHANGED ITS POSITION WITH RESPECT TO THE HORIZON BY APPROXIMATELY 15 DEGREES OF ELEVATION AND 5 DEGREES AZIMUTH. AFTER THIS RECOMMENDED ANGLE OF ELEVATION INCREASED, THE OBJECT MOVED OUT OF THE SUSPECTED INVERSION LAYER AT WHICH TIME THE EXTENT OF ITS ERRATIC MOTION SEEMED TO DIMINISH AND ONCE AGAIN I THOUGHT IT WAS POSSIBLY THE MORNING STAR."

SIGNED LT. PAUL DALLZ AO 1657567 CO-PILOT, KC-97 9772 APS(TDY TRANS)

PART 2. "AT 0705Z THIS DATE, I RECEIVED A CALL FROM 'ARCHIE 91' A KC-97 ENROUTE TO KUNYON AFB, HIS POSITION AT THIS TIME WAS 280 DEGREES TRUE N, 80 MILES W. FROM THIS STATION. 'ARCHIE 91' REPORTED SIGHTING AN UNKNOWN OBJECT IN THE AIR TO THE LEFT OF HIS ACFT, SLIGHTLY ABOVE HIM, MOVING AT VERY HIGH SPEEDS. IMMEDIATELY THEREAFTER, 'ARCHIE 29' ANOTHER AIRCRAFT APPROXIMATELY 20 MILES TO THE OF 'ARCHIE 91' REPORTED A SIGHTING OF THE SAME OBJECT IN THE SAME LOCATION.

THE OBJECT WAS DESCRIBED AS FLYING A VERY ERRATIC COURSE, MAKING SHARP CHANGES IN DIRECTION. BOTH AIRCRAFT REPORTED THAT THERE WERE NO CLOUDS WHATSOEVER NEAR THIS ALTITUDE THAT MIGHT CAUSE A REFLECTION.

APPROXIMATELY 0707Z I MADE RADAR CONTACT WITH A TARGET IN THE REPORTED POSITION, AND ALSO WITH SEVERAL OTHER TARGETS SLIGHTLY CLOSER TO THIS STATION. THE SIGHTED OBJECT APPEARED TO BE MOVING VERY SLOWLY AT THIS TIME AND EXCELLEN CONTACT WAS MAINTAINED FOR SEVERAL MINUTES. THE OTHER AIRCRAFT REPORTED ALSO, AT THIS TIME THE OBJECT SEEMED TO BE HOVERING. THE OTHER GROUP OF OBJECTS DETECTED ON RADAR WERE MOVING VERY FAST, SOME IN A CLUSTER, THEN STRAIGHT IN LINE FORMATION. DUE TO SLOW ANTENNA ROTATION, HIGH SPEED AND CHANGING COURSE OF THESE OBJECTS, SPEED CHECK WAS NOT AVAILABLE. ESTIMATE OF SPEED WOULD BE 1,500 KNOTS. THIS GROUP OF TARGETS WAS DETECTED BRIEFLY ON THE NIGHT FINDER.

[REDACTED] PAGE 2 OF 6 PAGES



AT FROM 5,000 - 10,000 FEET.

I ASKED 'ARCHIE 29' IF HE WOULD ATTEMPT TO CLOSE ON THE SIGHTED OBJECT USING CAUTION, FOR A BETTER REPORT. THE PILOT OF 'ARCHIE 29', LT R.N. SPYER AGREED AND CHANGED COURSE TOWARD THE OBJECT. APPROXIMATE RANGE TO THE OBJECT WAS 40 MILES. THE OBJECT WAS STILL HOVERING IN APPROXIMATELY THE SAME LOCATION. AS THE RANGE DECREASED, THE OBJECT BEGAN SLOWLY MOVING NORTHWARD. AFTER TRAVEL NORTH ABOUT 10 MILES THE OBJECT MADE A TURN BACK SOUTH. 'ARCHIE 29' CLOSED TO WITHIN 10 MILES OF THE OBJECT, THEN IT BEGAN TO MOVE OFF IN A NORTHERLY DIRECTION AGAIN ABOUT 070 DEGREES TRUE. 'ARCHIE 29' REPORTED THAT THE OBJECT WAS MOVING NORTHWARD AND CLIMBING. HE SAID IT LOOKED LIKE HE WAS NOT CLOSING ON IT ANY LONGER. AT THIS TIME I REQUESTED PERMISSION FROM 'DIGNITY' (CONTROL CENTER) TO 'SCRAMBLE' A FIGHTER AFTER THE OBJECT. REQUEST WAS APPROVED AND 'SCRAMBLE' WAS COMPLETED. RANGE OF OBJECT BY THIS TIME WAS 350 DEGREES TRUE AT 60 MILES.

SHORTLY THEREAFTER, A VISUAL CONTACT WITH THE OBJECT WAS MADE ON OUR HEIGHT FINDER. ALTITUDE WAS 35,000 FEET. 'ARCHIE 29' REPORTED THAT OBJECT WAS GETTING MUCH HIGHER AND FADING FROM VIEW, DUE TO LESSENING OF SUN'S REFLECTION ON IT, AND ALSO SOME SEVERE RESTRICTED VISION.

WHEN FIGHTER BECAME AIRBORNE, OBJECT WAS 110 MILES NORTH OF THE STATION. FIGHTER PILOT, SHAKO 56, REPORTED HIS RADAR WAS IMPERATIVE HE REQUESTED TO CONTINUE MISSION FOR ATTEMPT AT VISUAL CONTACT. WHEN SHAKO 56 REACHED 'ARCHIE' POSITION, THE OBJECT HAD FADED FROM VIEW. BOTH AIRCRAFT WERE NOW 150 MILES NORTH-EAST OF THIS STATION. AIRCRAFT WERE TRANSFERRED TO 'GRANDPA'S' CONTACT. IMMEDIATELY, THEN TURNED BACK TOWARDS STATION. BOTH LANDED WITHOUT FURTHER INCIDENT."

SIGNED 2ND LT. CHARLES E. DENNEY USAF SENIOR DIR 640TH ACW SQ.



[REDACTED]

PART 3. FROM THE PERIOD OF 1700 5 JULY TO 0000 6 JULY 1955, I ASSUMED THE DUTIES OF CO AT THE 640TH ACG SITE. AT APPROXIMATELY 0700Z, I WAS CALLED INTO OPERATIONS BY THE SENIOR CONTROLLER, WHO IN TURN ADVISED ME OF UNKNOWN OBJECTS BEING VIEWED ON THE RADAR. IN CHECKING ALL RADAR IN OPERATION, IT WAS FOUND THEY WERE FUNCTIONING PROPERLY.

APPROXIMATELY FROM 1 JULY 1955 TO PRESENT, PERIODICALLY THROUGH THE DAY WE HAVE HAD WEATHER INVERSIONS CAUSING OUR RADAR BEAMS TO BEND TOWARD THE GROUND. WE HAVE PERIODICALLY PICKED UP THE SOUTH SHORE OF LABRADOR AND ANTICOSTI ISLAND, DUE TO THE WEATHER INVERSION. AT THE TIME OF THE SIGHTINGS, NO SUCH RADAR PHENOMENON WAS NOTICED. ALL UNKNOWN OBJECTS SIGHTED ON THE RADAR WERE WITHIN THE CAPABILITIES OF OUR RADAR SET."

SIGHTED 1ST LT. ANTHONY G. SCARPACE AO-9/11449 CND ELECT OFFICER, 640TH ACG SQ.

PART 4. "AT 0700Z, THE NAVIGATOR GAVE US A HEADING OF 192 DEGREES TO HARKO. AFTER WE TURNED TO THAT HEADING LT. SCARPACE MADE HIS REPORT OF THE OBJECT. I LOOKED OVER WHEN HE CALLED IT IN AND THOUGHT IT WOULD HELP IF I VERIFIED WHAT HE SAID BECAUSE I SAW THE SAME THING AT APPROXIMATELY THE SAME POSITION. 'ZTRACE' IDENTIFIED SOME OF US BY OUR PARROT. 'ZTRACE' DETERMINED THAT I WAS APPROXIMATELY 7 MILES CLOSER TO THE OBJECT SO HE HAD ME GIVE CHASE. THE OBJECT AT THIS TIME WAS APPROXIMATELY 25,000 FEET. MY ALTITUDE WAS 18,000 FEET. THE DISTANCE WAS APPROXIMATELY 35 MILES. WHEN I FIRST SIGHTED THE OBJECT, IT MOVED TO THE LEFT IN A VERY DEFINITE MOVEMENT AND A VERY FAST MOVEMENT. THERE WERE NO SLOW INCREASE OR DECREASE IN SPEED. IT WAS A VERY DEFINITE FAST MOVEMENT. IT DID THIS TWICE. WE TURNED TO A HEADING OF 090 DEGREES AND THAT PUT THE OBJECT 12 O'CLOCK OUR POSITION. WHILE TRACKING THE OBJECT, IT MADE SEVERAL TURNS TO THE NORTH AND SEVERAL TURNS TO THE SOUTH WHICH WERE VERIFIED BY 'ZTRACE'. WE CLOSED OUR DISTANCE TO THE OBJECT TO APPROXIMATELY 12 MILES AND AT THAT TIME I INCREASED

[REDACTED]



[REDACTED]

WE POWER AND TRIED TO INCREASE MY SPEED BUT DUE TO RUNNING LOW ON OIL, I HAD TO DECREASE MY POWER ONCE MORE. OUR INDICATED AIR SPEED WAS APPROXIMATELY 210 MILES PER HOUR. WHEN WE GOT WITHIN 12 MILES OF THE OBJECT, IT STARTED A DEFINITE CLIMB TO AN ESTIMATED ALTITUDE OF BETWEEN 35,000 AND 40,000 FEET. AT 0600Z, WE LOST CONTACT WITH THE OBJECT BECAUSE THE SUN WAS DIRECTLY IN FRONT OF US. AS THE SUN ROSE HIGHER, THE LIGHT BEGAN TO GET DIMMER AND DIMMER UNTIL WE COMPLETELY LOST SIGHT. IF WE HAD NOT SEEN THE MOVEMENT OF THE OBJECT, WE WOULD HAVE THOUGHT IT WAS ONLY A BRIGHT STAR, BUT THE DEFINITE HORIZONTAL AND VERTICAL MOVEMENTS OF THE OBJECT KILLED THAT BELIEF. THE OBJECT WAS SIGHTED BY AT LEAST 5 OF THE CREW MEMBERS.

AT 0625Z AS WE WERE MAKING CONTACT WITH OUR RECEIVERS, WE SIGHTED AN OBJECT WITH A BRIGHT LIGHT AT APPROXIMATELY 10,000 FEET. IT WAS BELOW US AND TO OUR LEFT, HEADING IN THE SAME DIRECTION. IT WENT FORWARD TO OUR LEADER'S POSITION AND TURNED AROUND AND CAME DIRECTLY BACK FROM THE HEADING OF 090 DEGREES. MY 2ND NAVIGATOR CALLED MY ATTENTION TO THIS OBJECT, BUT I DISREGARDED IT THINKING IT WAS ANOTHER AIRCRAFT. AFTER IT PASSED OUR POSITION, WE LOST CONTACT."

SIGNED LT, HOMER E. SPER, JR. AO-1554075 AIRCRAFT CENTER KC-97 97TH AFS (CDT) (KIA73)

PART 5. WE TURNED OFF AFTER COMPLETION OF REFUELING, THE TIME BEING APPROXIMATELY 0700Z, AND TOOK UP A HEADING FOR ZHENJON WHICH WAS 125 DEGREES. SECRETLY THROUGH THE 'ENRAGE' CONTROL NOTIFIED ME OF AN AIRCRAFT AT 7 MILES OFF MY LEFT WING. I VERIFIED THIS POSITION BY VISUAL CONTACT OF SAID AIRCRAFT. SECRETLY THEREAFTER, 10 O'CLOCK TO MY POSITION, I NOTICED A BRIGHT LIGHT. AFTER CONSULTATION WITH 3 CREW MEMBERS, WE DECIDED THE LIGHT WAS MOVING VERY RAPIDLY. I DECIDED TO CALL 'ENRAGE' CONTROL TO VERIFY THE LIGHT. I TOLD 'ENRAGE' CONTROL THAT IT WAS APPROXIMATELY 10 O'CLOCK FROM MY POSITION AT FROM 15,000 TO 20,000 FEET, AN

[REDACTED]



[REDACTED]

ESTIMATED 50 MILES. I ALSO TOLD THEM IT WAS MOVING VERY RAPIDLY SOMETHING LIKE  
THOSE FLYING SAUCERS. SHORTLY THEREAFTER, 'ARCHIE 29' CALLED 'ETRACE' CONTROL AND  
VERIFIED THE ACCOUNT OF THE OBJECT. AFTER POSITIVE IDENTIFICATION OF 'ARCHIE 29' THE  
THE DISCUSSION OF THE OBJECT WAS REFERRED TO AS TO ITS POSITION FROM 'ARCHIE 29' THE  
I VERIFIED ALL OF 'ARCHIE 29'S' INFORMATION AND CONTINUED WATCHING THE OBJECT UNTIL  
UNTIL APPROXIMATELY 20 MILES FROM HARTON FIELD. FROM THE INFORMATION 'ETRACE' WERE  
GIVING 'ARCHIE 29', IT WAS APPARENT THAT 'ARCHIE 29' WOULD MAKE ANY FURTHER REPORTS  
ON THE OBJECT, SO I DECIDED TO LAND AT HARTON AND CLEARED FROM THE 'ETRACE' FREQUENCY  
SIGNED LT. ROBERT W. SCHNECK AO-775721 AIRCRAFT CMDR, KC-97 97TH AFB (TOI BRANCH  
PAGE 6. "AFTER WE FINISHED OUR REFUELING RUN AT APPROXIMATELY 0700Z, WE RETURNED  
ON OUR HOMEWARD COURSE AND WE HEARD LT SCHNECK REPORT THE BRIGHT LIGHT THAT WAS  
MOVING VERY FAST AND ERRATICALLY. RIGHT AFTER THAT THE AIRCRAFT COMMANDER SAW THE  
OBJECT AND POINTED IT OUT TO ME. I COULD SEE IT. IT LOOKED LIKE A VERY BRIGHT  
LIGHT, LIKE A STAR. WE TURNED TOWARDS THE LIGHT AND THAT WAS THE FIRST TIME I  
NOTICED MOVEMENT. IT APPEARED STATIONARY FOR QUITE A WHILE AND THEN IT MOVED IN  
EITHER NORTHERLY OR SOUTHERLY DIRECTIONS AT DIFFERENT TIMES. EACH TIME IT MOVED,  
WE TURNED THE AIRCRAFT TO KEEP IT AT 12 O'CLOCK POSITION. 'ETRACE' FIRST REPORTED  
WE WERE 45 MILES FROM THE OBJECT. THE SKY WAS FAIRLY LIGHT ON THE HORIZON AND BY  
CONTRAST THE OBJECT APPEARED VERY BRIGHT. IT WAS AT ITS BRIGHTEST WHEN 'ETRACE'  
INFORMED US THAT WE WERE 18 MILES FROM IT. AFTER THE SKY WAS GETTING LIGHTER,  
THE OBJECT BECAME DIMMER AND MORE DIFFICULT TO SEE. ABOUT 0900Z, IT DISAPPEARED  
COMPLETELY."

SIGNED LT. DAVID CUELEMER AO-3005255 CO-PILOT, KC-97 97TH AFB (TOI BRANCH)  
FOLLOW UP REPORTS WILL BE FORWARDED AS RECEIVED AT THIS SITE // /CONFIDENTIAL//  
BT  
07/1230Z JULY 71A6

[REDACTED]

WASHINGTON INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

OCEANOGRAPHIC AND PHYSICAL SCIENCES

DR. ROBERT I. SARBACHER  
PRESIDENT AND CHAIRMAN OF BOARD

November 29, 1983

Mr. William Steinman  
15043 Rosalita Drive  
La Mirada, California 90638

Dear Mr. Steinman:

I am sorry I have taken so long in answering your letters. However, I have moved my office and have had to make a number of extended trips.

To answer your last question in your letter of October 14, 1983, there is no particular reason I feel I shouldn't or couldn't answer any or all of your questions. I am delighted to answer all of them to the best of my ability.

You listed some of your questions in your letter of September 12th. I will attempt to answer them as you had listed them.

1. Relating to my own experience regarding recovered flying saucers, I had no association with any of the people involved in the recovery and have no knowledge regarding the dates of the recoveries. If I had I would send it to you.

2. Regarding verification that persons you list were involved, I can only say this:

John von Neuman was definitely involved. Dr. Vannever Bush was definitely involved, and I think Dr. Robert Oppenheimer also.

My association with the Research and Development Board under Doctor Compton during the Eisenhower administration was rather limited so that although I had been invited to participate in several discussions associated with the reported recoveries, I could not personally attend the meetings. I am sure that they would have asked Dr. von Braun, and the others that you listed were probably asked and may or may not have attended. This is all I know for sure.

800 BRAZILIAN AVENUE PALM BEACH, FLORIDA 33460 305-833-1116

Dr. Robert I Sarbacher answers Steinman's letters and identifies three other scientists definitely involved in the crashed saucer study and research projects.

Mr. William Steinman  
November 29, 1983 - Page 2

3. I did receive some official reports when I was in my office at the Pentagon but all of these were left there as at the time we were never supposed to take them out of the office.

4. I do not recall receiving any photographs such as you request so I am not in a position to answer.

5. I have to make the same reply as on No. 4.

I recall the interview with Dr. Brenner of the Canadian Embassy. I think the answers I gave him were the ones you listed. Naturally, I was more familiar with the subject matter under discussion, at that time. Actually, I would have been able to give more specific answers had I attended the meetings concerning the subject. You must understand that I took this assignment as a private contribution. We were called "dollar-a-year men." My first responsibility was the maintenance of my own business activity so that my participation was limited.

About the only thing I remember at this time is that certain materials reported to have come from flying saucer crashes were extremely light and very tough. I am sure our laboratories analyzed them very carefully.

There were reports that instruments or people operating these machines were also of very light weight, sufficient to withstand the tremendous deceleration and acceleration associated with their machinery. I remember in talking with some of the people at the office that I got the impression these "aliens" were constructed like certain insects we have observed on earth, wherein because of the low mass the inertial forces involved in operation of these instruments would be quite low.

I still do not know why the high order of classification has been given and why the denial of the existence of these devices.

I am sorry it has taken me so long to reply but I suggest you get in touch with the others who may be directly involved in this program.

Sincerely yours,

*Robert I. Sarbacher*  
Dr. Robert I. Sarbacher

P. S. It occurs to me that Dr. Bush's name is incorrect as you have it. Please check the spelling.

*and as I know it.*

Dr. Sarbacher confirms recovery of material, instruments and occupants from the crashed discs.



~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

DA - I/2c

SUMMARY OF INFORMATION

DATE 13 Jan 49

PREPARING OFFICE: Office of the AC of S, G-2, Headquarters, Fourth Army, Fort Sam Houston, Texas

SUBJECT-

Unconventional Aircraft

(Control Number A-1917)

SUMMARY OF INFORMATION

(G-2 NOTE: This report is a supplement to report, this headquarters, subject as above, dated 3 January 1949.)

1. Following is a list of sightings of unidentified lights over New Mexico subsequent to 27 December 1948:

a. Los Alamos, 20 Dec 48, 2054 hours. Falling light from 45 degree angle, decreasing to 20 degree angle. Observed by four security inspectors at Los Alamos AEC project.

b. Los Alamos, 28 Dec 48, 0431 hours. Descending vertical light much slower than falling star. Disintegrated in greenish flash lighting up cloud area between observer and light. Observed by security inspector, Los Alamos AEC project.

c. Los Alamos, 30 Dec 48, 2010 and 2100 hours. High speed motor sound directly over Los Alamos and above overcast. Sound heard for seven seconds (timed) and repeated 10 minutes later. Heard again at 2100 hours for 8.2 seconds (timed). Positive determination that no vehicles on approaching highways and no planes overhead. Checked and observed by Los Alamos security inspectors.

d. Sandia Base, 6 Jan 49, 1735 hours. Brightly lighted object from southeast to northwest. Diamond shape, two feet long. Altitude 1500 to 2000 feet. Speed - faster than a jet plane. No smoke or vapor trail. No sound. Observed by Sandia Base sentry who claims experience in aircraft observation.

2. Dr. LA PAZ, Meteorologist at the University of New Mexico, personally interviewed all persons who have made observations. He has made transit sightings to determine altitudes and angles of flight. He has made a report to the O.S.I. of the U.S.A.F., closing with this remark, "I have no hesitancy in testifying that an object possessing the real path and other peculiarities observed by Messrs. WILSON, TRUETT, SIMONS, and SKIPPER was not a falling meteorite."

Distribution: D/I; C/S; G-3; 14th AF; FBI; file; O.S.I., A.M.C.

CONFIDENTIAL

TRAINING OFFICE

CIC, FAO # 8, P.O. Box 379, Knoxville, Tennessee

SUBJECT

OBJECTS SIGHTED OVER OAK RIDGE

CODE FOR USE IN INDIVIDUAL PARAGRAPH EVALUATION

## OF SOURCE:

COMPLETELY RELIABLE . . . . . A  
 USUALLY RELIABLE . . . . . B  
 FAIRLY RELIABLE . . . . . C  
 NOT USUALLY RELIABLE . . . . . D  
 UNRELIABLE . . . . . E  
 RELIABILITY UNKNOWN . . . . . F

## OF INFORMATION:

CONFIRMED BY OTHER SOURCES . . . 1  
 PROBABLY TRUE . . . . . 2  
 POSSIBLY TRUE . . . . . 3  
 TRUTHFULLY TRUE . . . . . 4  
 IMPOSSIBLE . . . . . 5  
 TRUTH CANNOT BE JUDGED . . . . 6

## SUMMARY OF INFORMATION

(Refer: Summary of Information, Subject: as above, dated 15 Oct 1950.)

On 13 October 1950 Atomic Energy Security Patrol Trooper, Edward D. Rymer, and a caretaker, John Moneymaker, from the University of Tennessee Research Farm, at Oak Ridge, saw an object at about 12,000 to 15,000 feet above Soloway Gate of the "Control Zone." This object appeared to be an aircraft which was starting to make an outside loop, trailing smoke behind. Soon these two men realized that the formerly described smoke behind the aircraft was a tail. This object continued to descend in a controlled dive, much slower than an aircraft would dive, and when it approached the ground it levelled off and flew slowly, parallel to the ground. This object came within two hundred and ten (210) feet of the two observers and was paralleling the ground at approximately the speed that a man could walk, at a height of approximately six (6) feet. Trooper Rymer attempted to approach the object but as he approached the object became smaller and started moving in a southeasterly direction. This object is said to have approached a nine (9) foot cyclone chain link fence and made a controlled movement to clear the fence, then a Willow tree, then a telephone post and wire, after which, the object gained momentum and altitude and cleared a hill at approximately one (1) mile away. The object appeared to be pear shaped. When this object was over the hill it was still visible as the same sized object that was observed when only fifty (50) feet away. (The explanation given was that this object grew larger as it gained altitude and speed.)

Approximately five minutes later the object appeared again having reappeared from approximately the same location from which it had disappeared. The object was seen again five minutes later for approximately ten seconds.

During the above happenings, Mr. John Moneymaker had visual reference of this object during its first sight for approximately seven minutes. Trooper Rymer was interrupted twice during which times he called his headquarters in an attempt to get other observers. Also, during the fantastic flight of this object, Trooper Rymer stopped Mr. E. W. Hightower, who was on the highway in his vehicle, to verify what was being seen. Mr. Hightower's statement substantiates the description as before.

By the time the object appeared the second time, Joe Zarzecki, Capt. of the Atomic Energy Commission Security Patrol, was present and also witnessed this phenomenon.

Each of the observers described the object substantially as follows:

- a. When the object was first sighted it appeared to be an aircraft, trailing smoke, or better described as "smoke writing."

1 cc Headquarters, Third Army  
 1 cc OSI, Knoxville, Tennessee  
 1 cc FBI, Knoxville, Tennessee

1 cc to Security Division, SEC, Oak Ridge  
 1 cc File

WD 568

160 FORM  
1 JUN 47

U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE

16-53700-1

B

CONFIDENTIAL



## SUMMARY OF INFORMATION

DATE

17 October 1950

## PREPARING OFFICE

CIC, FAO # 8, P. O. Box 379, Knoxville, Tennessee

## SUBJECT

OBJECTS SIGHTED OVER OAK RIDGE

## CODE FOR USE IN INDIVIDUAL PARAGRAPH EVALUATION

## OF SOURCE:

COMPLETELY RELIABLE . . . . . A  
 USUALLY RELIABLE . . . . . B  
 FAIRLY RELIABLE . . . . . C  
 NOT USUALLY RELIABLE . . . . . D  
 UNRELIABLE . . . . . E  
 RELIABILITY UNKNOWN . . . . . F

## OF INFORMATION:

CONFIRMED BY OTHER SOURCES . . . 1  
 PROBABLY TRUE . . . . . 2  
 POSSIBLY TRUE . . . . . 3  
 DOUBTFULLY TRUE . . . . . 4  
 IMPROBABLE . . . . . 5  
 TRUTH CANNOT BE JUDGED . . . . 6

## SUMMARY OF INFORMATION

b. When the object was approaching the ground in its descent, it took on the shape of a bullet with a large tail.

c. When the object was sighted on the ground (from approximately two hundred and ten (210) feet) it appeared to be approximately the size of a 2x5 card, with a twenty (20) foot ribbon tail. The object and the tail was alternately moving up and down, and the ribbon appeared to be waving in the breeze. The color was a metallic grey.

d. When Trooper Rymer came within fifty (50) feet of the object he described it similar to the above except that the first two and one-half feet of the tail appeared more solid, but the last seventeen and one-half (17½) feet of the tail appeared almost transparent and was glowing, intermittently, in sections. The tail appeared to have four or five sections which would glow intermittently.

Trooper Rymer's record is among the best of the troopers at the Atomic Energy Commission Security Patrol. Mr. John Moneymaker holds badge No. UT-1817, and is employed by the University of Tennessee Agricultural Research Farm as a caretaker for small animals. Mr. E. W. Hightower holds badge No. 6633 and is an employee of the Maxon Construction Company.

The Controller, Capt. W. Akin, of Detachment No. 2, 662 AC and W Sqd., McGee-Tyson Airport, P. O. Box 202, Maryville, Tennessee, at the Knoxville Airport Radar Site, made a report that he had seen peculiar readings on the radar scopes at approximately 1520 hours. Apparently the radar picture was indefinite, intermittent, and inaccurate, because the objects sighted by radar would only make a short "painting" on the scope and would then disappear only to reappear at another location.

On 16 October 1950, at approximately 1520 hours, five persons (as yet unknown) sighted objects hovering over the K-25 plant at Oak Ridge, Tennessee. Further information and description is expected from these sources. However, the radar scopes at Knoxville Airport were giving an unintelligible reading. Apparently the Commanding Officer was reluctant to make any statement concerning these readings due to higher Headquarters doubting the event of the past few days.

Nevertheless, a fighter aircraft from the 5th Fighter Sqd. was sent to identify an object which was reported to be hovering over K-25. Upon approaching the area the radar equipment aboard the aircraft got an image on its scope and the pilot pursued this image and identified it as a light type aircraft. Ground

2

## DISTRIBUTION

3 cc Headquarters, Third Army  
 1 cc OSI, Knoxville, Tennessee  
 1 cc FBI, Knoxville, Tennessee

1 cc Security Division, SEC  
 1 cc File

~~TOP SECRET~~

Air Intelligence Report No. 100-203-72

ANALYSIS OF FLYING OBJECT INCIDENTS IN THE U. S.

Air Intelligence Division Study No. 203  
10 December 1948.

Directorate of Intelligence and Office of Naval Intelligence

DISTRIBUTION "C"

WARNING: This document contains information affecting the national defense of the United States within the meaning of the Espionage Act, 50 U.S.C., 31 and 32, as amended. Its transmission or the revelation of its contents in any manner to an unauthorized person is prohibited by law. Reproduction of the intelligence in this publication, under the provisions of Army Regulation 380-5, is authorized for United States military agencies provided the source is indicated.

Directorate of Intelligence  
Headquarters United States Air Force

Office of Naval Intelligence  
Navy Department

Washington, D. C.

DECLASSIFIED

Authority AF IN A Memo 5741 RS

By SA NARS Date 3/1/85

~~TOP SECRET~~



~~SECRET~~

## APPENDIX "C"

### SELECTED REPORTS OF FLYING OBJECT INCIDENTS

1. A NUMBER of reports on unidentified flying objects come from observers who, because of their technical background and experience do not appear to be influenced by unfounded sensationalism nor inclined to report explainable phenomena as new types of airborne devices. Some of the details of their reports are presented in this appendix, along with those from possibly less reliable sources who have reported evidence which is of such a nature that it cannot be entirely ignored.
2. DESCRIPTIONS OF significant incidents, arranged chronologically, follow:
  - a. During April 1947, two employees of the Weather Bureau Station at Richmond, Virginia reported seeing a strange metallic disk on three occasions through the theodolite while making PIBAL observations. One observation was at 15,000 feet when a disk was followed for 15 seconds. The disk appeared metallic, shaped something like an ellipse with a flat bottom and a round top. It appeared below the balloon and was much larger in size. The disk appeared to be moving rather rapidly, although it was impossible to estimate its speed. The other observations were made at 27,000 feet in like manner.
  - b. The following month, ~~XXXXXXXXXX~~ a field engineer for Radio Corporation of America, reported a disk flying near his home in Oklahoma City, Oklahoma. The object was thought to be at an altitude between 10,000 feet and 18,000 feet, and was moving toward the north at a high rate of speed, leaving no trailing effects.
  - c. While flying at 10,000 feet on a course of 300 degrees, 30 miles northwest of Lake Meade, Nevada, an Air Force lieutenant reported seeing five or six white circular objects in close formation and traveling at an estimated speed of 285 miles per hour. This sighting occurred on 28 June 1947.
  - d. The following day a party of three, two of them scientists, were motoring along Highway 17 toward the White Sand, New Mexico, V-2 firing grounds and reported seeing a large disk or sphere moving horizontally at a high speed and an estimated altitude of 10,000 feet. It was of uniform shape and had no protruding surfaces such as wings. The object was in sight for about 60 seconds before it disappeared to the northeast. The three observers agreed on the details of the sighting except that one thought he had seen vapor trails.
  - e. On 7 July 1947, five Portland, Oregon police officers reported varying numbers of disks flying over different parts of the city. All observations were made within a minute or two of 1305 hours.
  - f. On the same day, ~~XXXXXXXXXX~~ of Phoenix, Arizona allegedly saw a disk circling his locality during sunset and took two photographs. The resulting pictures (page 9) show a disk-like object with a round front and a square tail in plan form. These photographs have been examined by experts who state they are true photographic images and do not appear to be imperfection in the emulsion or imperfections in the lens. (See Figs. 1, 2, 3 and 4.)

~~SECRET~~

g. On 10 July 1947, Mr. [REDACTED] a Pan-American Airways mechanic reported a circular object flying at high velocity, paralleling the earth's surface and leaving a trail which appeared as a "burning up" of the cloud formation. The sighting occurred near Harmon Field, Newfoundland. Two other persons also saw the trail which remained in the sky for about an hour and was photographed by another PAA employee. The resulting photographs support Mr. Woodruff's observation as far as the sky cleavage is concerned. (See Figs. 5 and 6.)




Fig. 5

~~SECRET~~



~~SECRET~~



Fig. 6

h. On 29 July 1947, [REDACTED] while flying near Tacoma, Washington, reported a formation of flying objects. His sketch of their shape corresponds closely to that shown in the photographs made by Mr. [REDACTED]. On the same day, two U. S. Air Force pilots at Hamilton Field reported two flying disks trailing a P-80, following it toward Oakland, California.

i. On 4 August 1947, the pilot and co-pilot of a DC-3, flying for one Al Jones, near Bethel, Alaska, reported a flying disk larger than their aircraft. This disk crossed their path at about 1,000 feet and they turned to give chase. The DC-3 was flying at 170 mph, but the disk flew out of sight in four minutes.

j. On 12 November 1947, two flying disks trailing jet-like streams of fire were reportedly sighted from the bridge of the tanker Ticonderoga, according to the second officer. The Ticonderoga was 20 miles off the Oregon shore. This officer said the disks were in sight 45 seconds, moving at a speed estimated at 700-900 mph, curving in a long, low arc.

~~SECRET~~

- 11 -

~~SECRET~~

k. On 7 January 1948, a National Guard pilot was killed while attempting to chase an unidentified object up to 30,000 feet. While it is presumed that this pilot suffered anoxia, resulting in his crash, his last message to the tower was, "It appears to be metallic object....of tremendous size....directly ahead and slightly above....I am trying to close for a better look."

l. On 5 April 1948, three trained balloon observers from the Geophysics Laboratory Section, Watson Laboratories, N.J. reported seeing a round, indistinct object in the vicinity of Holloman Air Force Base, New Mexico. It was very high and fast, and appeared to execute violent maneuvers at high speed. The object was under observation for approximately 30 seconds and disappeared suddenly.

m. A yellow or light colored sphere, 25 to 40 feet in diameter was reported by Lt. Comdr. Marcus L. Lowe, USN, just south of Anacostia Naval Air Station, D.C., while he was flying on 30 April 1948. It was moving at a speed of approximately 100 miles per hour at an altitude of about 4,500 feet. Although winds aloft were from the north-northwest, its course was to the north.

n. On 1 July 1948, twelve disks were reported over the Rapid City Air Base by Major Hammer. These disks were oval-shaped, about 100 feet long, flying at a speed estimated to be in excess of 500 mph. Descending from 10,000 feet, these disks made a 30-degree to 40-degree climbing turn accelerating very rapidly until out of sight.

o. On 17 July 1948, a report from Kirtland Air Force Base describes a sighting in the vicinity of San Acacia, New Mexico, of seven unidentified objects flying in a "V" formation at an estimated height of 20,000 feet above the terrain. The formation varied from "V" to "L" to circle after passing the zenith. Flashes from the objects were observed after passing 30 degrees beyond the zenith but there was no smoke or vapor trail. If the reported altitude is correct the speed was estimated at 1,500 miles per hour, according to the report.

p. Other sightings of lights and trails, rather than disks, have been reported, viz:

(1) On 12 September 1947, the pilot and co-pilot of a Pan American aircraft, en route from Midway to Honolulu, saw a blue-white light approaching, changing to twin reddish glows upon withdrawal. The pilot estimated the speed of the light at about 1,000 knots.

(2) On 15 June 1948, Mr. [redacted] territory manager for the B.F. Goodrich Company, observed a reddish glow with a jet exhaust in the vicinity of Miles City, Montana. This glowing light made no sound, traveled about twice the speed of a conventional aircraft and flew from north to south several times in a wide arc, finally disappearing over the horizon.

q. During the early morning of 25 July 1948, two Eastern Airlines pilots reported having seen a huge flying craft similar to a V-2 pass their aircraft in flight. (See Figs. 7 and 8.) The attached drawings made by these two observers very closely resemble a flying object reported to have been seen on 20 July 1948, by [redacted] chief investigator of Court of Damage Inquiry, and his daughter at Arnhem, Netherlands. This object appeared to be a wingless aircraft having two decks. The craft, sighted four times through scattered clouds and unlimited visibility, was traveling at high speed at a high altitude. A sound similar to that made by a V-2 was reported.

r. An object, similar in shape to the one in the preceding incident was reported by an experienced American newspaper reporter about 25 kilometers northeast of Moscow on 3 August 1948. A Russian acquaintance identified it as a rigid airship but the reporter disagrees because it flew at a high, but not excessive speed.

s. On 1 October 1948 at approximately 2030 hours the pilot of a F-51 aircraft, 2nd Lt. George F. Gorman (North Dakota Air National Guard), flying near Fargo, North Dakota, sighted an intermittent white light about 3,000 feet below his 4,500 feet cruising altitude. The pilot pursued the light which appeared to then take evasive tactics. The object or light out-turned, out-speeded, and out-climbed the F-51 in every instance during the attempt to intercept. The pilot lost contact 27



~~SECRET~~

minutes after the initial sighting. The same light was observed by three other witnesses from the ground: Mr. ~~Mr. [redacted]~~, Air Traffic Controller, Mr. ~~Mr. [redacted]~~ Assistant Traffic Controller, and Dr. ~~Dr. [redacted]~~, Oculist. A comparison of all testimony revealed that one object was sighted and that it consisted only of a small round ball of clear white light with no apparent shape attached. It was about 6 to 8 inches in diameter. At times it traveled faster than the F-51 and performed maneuvers in an evasive manner. When first sighted the ball of light was traveling at an estimated 250 miles per hour. Under this condition, the light was not continuous but blinked off and on. At high performance the white light was continuous. Subsequent investigation eliminated the possibility that this incident may have been another aircraft or a meteorological balloon.

1. On 18 November 1948 at approximately 2145 hours, three reserve pilots, 2nd Lt. Kenwood W. Jackson, 2nd Lt. Glen L. Stalker, and 2nd Lt. Henry G. Combs, flying near Andrews Field, Maryland, encountered an unidentified flying object. When first sighted, it appeared to be lighted and flying at about 1,700 feet. Three or four passes were made in an attempt to identify it. The pilot of the aircraft stated that while diving his aircraft at approximately 240 miles per hour, the object would climb vertically and then would drop below the aircraft from behind and continue to circle. On the last pass, the landing light was switched on and momentarily a dull gray glow from the object was observed. Lt. Combs stated he maintained contact for about ten minutes with the object flying between the lights of Washington, D. C. and his aircraft. All that could be observed was an oblong ball with one light, no wings and no exhaust flame. It finally made a very tight turn and headed toward the east coast at an estimated 500 to 600 miles per hour. At the same time Staff Sergeant John J. Kushner observed from the ground an unusual object in the air over Andrews Field. He stated that it was not very high and that it did not look like an aircraft.

3. REPORTS OF radar intercepts point to unusual air activity which may be related to flying objects.

a. On 1 July 1947, a GCA radar at Hokkaido, Japan picked up an unidentified target at 16 miles, with a speed in excess of 500 mph. This target split into two targets, each estimated to be larger than a P-51.

b. On 16 September 1947, an MEW radar at Fukuoka, Japan, picked up a target at 89 miles and trailed it to 19 miles, where it faded. Speed was 840-900 mph. The speed measurement, made by a good crew through a 70-mile track, is believed accurate.

4. Investigations conducted by Headquarters, Air Materiel Command, have definitely established the identification of 18 of approximately 210 so-called flying saucers which have been reported. Approximately nine per cent of the total number of incidents are, therefore, eliminated from further specific consideration. Among those incidents positively explained, three were hoaxes, two were from unreliable witnesses. In the remaining 13 eliminated incidents, objects were actually seen but investigation has shown that they were celestial bodies or phenomena, meteorological and carnival balloons, and airborne cosmic ray experimental equipment. The following examples are presented for comparison of the information reported by witnesses and true identification of the object involved:

a. On 22 July 1948, Captain Henry Glover (Ordnance Reserve) and his wife observed at Van Nuys, California, an object which they were unable to positively identify. Object at first appeared to be round and looked like a weather balloon at about 2,000 feet but there was no characteristic bobbing. The wind was blowing on the ground but the object was quite steady. During the time it was under observation, about an hour, it traveled through a vertical arc of about twenty-five (25) degrees or more. The observer concluded that it was not a celestial body. It has a bluish luminescence and as the sun set, the object's color gradually changed to orange at dusk and ceased to be illuminated almost instantaneously. The outline was clear and the air was clear with visibility unlimited. The object traveled from the east to the west.

This object was determined by investigation to have been a balloon carrying cosmic ray equipment.

~~SECRET~~

- 15 -

~~SECRET~~

b. On 19 August 1948, at approximately 1050 hours an unidentified flying object was visible from the ground at Godman Air Force Base, Kentucky. This object was estimated to be at about 30,000 to 40,000 feet altitude, spherical in shape, bright silver color and gave a bright reflection from the sun. An F-51 was dispatched from Standiford Air Force Base, Kentucky, to observe the object. During observation from the ground, there was no change in the elevation of the object and it seemed to be moving southwest from Godman Air Force Base. The F-51 which was flying over Godman AFB at an altitude of 30,000 to 35,000 feet reported that it was unable to locate the object although it was still visible from the ground with the naked eye. Azimuth and elevation readings were taken by theodolite every minute and the path of the object was charted.

The object was determined to be the planet Venus by Mr. Moore, the head astronomer at the University of Louisville, Louisville, Kentucky. It is believed that earlier incidents at Godman Field (reference paragraph 2k, page 12, Appendix "C") may also have been observations of the planet Venus.

5. AMONG THOSE incidents still not positively explained, reported observations differ to some extent, but three general categories of sightings emerge -- the flying disk, the ball of fire and the large jet rocket. Interesting observations that were noted are:

a. Most of the objects are a thin disk, round on top and flat on the bottom. The front half of the disk is often circular, sweeping back to a square tail across the full width.

b. A high rate of climb as well as the apparent ability to remain motionless or hover for a considerable length of time is indicated.

c. Reported sizes have varied from that of a 25-cent piece to 250 feet in diameter, and from the size of a pursuit plane to the bulk of six B-29 airplanes.

d. Speeds have been estimated throughout the entire range from very slow or hovering to supersonic.

e. Sounds and visual trails are not normally associated with the sightings.

~~SECRET~~



COMPLAINT FORM		NO 1V05	
ADMINISTRATIVE DATA			
TITLE KIRTLAND AFB, NM, 8 Aug - 3 Sep 80, Alleged Sightings of Unidentified Aerial Lights in Restricted Test Range.	DATE	2 - 9 Sept 80	TIME 1200
	PLACE	AFOSI Det 1700, Kirtland AFB, NM	
	HOW RECEIVED:		
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IN PERSON <input type="checkbox"/> TELEPHONICALLY <input type="checkbox"/> BY MAIL		
	SOURCE AND EVALUATION		
MAJOR ERNEST E. EDWARDS RESIDENCE OR BUSINESS ADDRESS Commander, 1608 SPS, Manzano Kirtland AFB, NM		PHONE 4-7516	
CR. <u>44</u> APPLIES			

SUMMARY OF INFORMATION	
<p>1. On 2 Sept 80, SOURCE related on 8 Aug 80, three Security Policemen assigned to 1608 SPS, KAFB, NM, on duty inside the Manzano Weapons Storage Area sighted an unidentified light in the air that traveled from North to South over the Coyote Canyon area of the Department of Defense Restricted Test Range on KAFB, NM. The Security Policemen identified as: SSGT STEPHEN FERENZ, Area Supervisor, AIC MARTIN W. RIST and AMN ANTHONY D. FRAZIER, were later interviewed separately by SOURCE and all three related the same statement: At approximately 2350hrs., while on duty in Charlie Sector, East Side of Manzano, the three observed a very bright light in the sky approximately 3 miles North-North East of their position. The light traveled with great speed and stopped suddenly in the sky over Coyote Canyon. The three first thought the object was a helicopter, however, after observing the strange aerial maneuvers (stop and go), they felt a helicopter couldn't have performed such skills. The light landed in the Coyote Canyon area. Sometime later, three witnessed the light take off and leave proceeding straight up at a high speed and disappear.</p> <p>2. Central Security Control (CSC) inside Manzano, contacted Santa Security, who conducts frequent building checks on two alarmed structures in the area. They advised that a patrol was already in the area and would investigate.</p> <p>3. On 11 Aug 80, RUSS CURTIS, Santa Security, advised that on 9 Aug 80, a Santa Security Guard, (who wishes his name not be divulged for fear of harassment), related the following: At approximately 0000hrs., he was driving East on the Coyote Canyon access road on a routine building check of an alarmed structure. As he approached the structure he observed a bright light near the ground behind the structure. He also observed an object he first thought was a helicopter. But after driving closer, he observed a round disk shaped object. He attempted to radio for a back up patrol but his radio would not work. As he approached the object on foot armed with a shotgun, the object took off in a vertical direction at a high rate of speed. The guard was a former helicopter mechanic in the U.S. Army and stated the object he observed was not a helicopter.</p> <p>4. SOURCE advised on 22 Aug 80, three other security policemen observed the same</p>	

DATE FORWARDED NO AFOSI 19 1V05 10 Sep 80		AFOSI FORM OR ATTACHED <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO	
NAME 1 Sept 80	TYPED OR PRINTED NAME OF SPECIAL AGENT RICHARD C. DOTY, SA	SIGNATURE <i>Richard C. Doty</i>	
DISTRICT FILE NO. 8017893-0/29		OCH RESULTS NEGATIVE POSITIVE (See Attached)	
AFOSI 20-1 PREVIOUS EDITION WILL BE USED.			

CONTINUED FROM COMP. 1, DTD 9 Sept 80

aerial phenomena described by the first three. Again the object landed in Coyote Canyon. They did not see the object take off.

5. Coyote Canyon is part of a large restricted test range used by the Air Force Weapons Laboratory, Sandia Laboratories, Defense Nuclear Agency and the Department of Energy. The range was formerly patrolled by Sandia Security, however, they only conduct building checks there now.

6. On 10 Aug 80, a New Mexico State Patrolman sighted an aerial object land in the Manzano's between Belen and Albuquerque, NM. The Patrolman reported the sighting to the Kirtland AFB Command Post, who later referred the patrolman to the AFOSI Dist 17. AFOSI Dist 17 advised the patrolman to make a report through his own agency. On 11 Aug 80, the Kirtland Public Information office advised the patrolman the USAF no longer investigates such sightings unless they occur on an USAF base.

7. WETTER contacted all the agencies who utilized the test range and it was learned no aerial tests are conducted in the Coyote Canyon area. Only ground tests are conducted.

8. On 8 Sept 80, WETTER learned from Sandia Security that another Security Guard observed a object land near an alarmed structure sometime during the first week of August, but did not report it until just recently for fear of harassment.

9. The two alarmed structures located within the area contains HQ CR 44 material.



PAGE:0011

INQUIRE=DOC10D  
ITEM NO=0C508802  
ENVELOPE

CDSN = LGX391 MCN = 90089/26558 TOR = 900901048  
RTTCZYUW RUEKJCS049 0891251-CCCC--RUEALCX.  
ZNY CCCCC

HEADER

R 301251Z MAR 90  
FM JOINT STAFF WASHINGTON DC  
INFO RUEADWD/OCSA WASHINGTON DC  
RUENAAA/CNO WASHINGTON DC  
RUEAHQA/CSAF WASHINGTON DC  
RUEACMC/CMC WASHINGTON DC  
RUEDADA/AFIS AMHS BOLLING AFB DC  
RUFTAZA/CDR USAINTELCTRE HEIDELBERG GE  
RUFCAID/USEUCOM AIDES VAIHINGEN GE  
RUETIAQ/MPCFTGEORCEGMEADEMD  
RUEANCC/CMC CC WASHINGTON DC  
RUEALGX/SAFE  
R 301246Z MAR 90

FM [REDACTED]  
TO RUEKJCS/DIA WASHDC  
INFO RUEKJCS/DIA WASHDC//DAT-7//  
RUSNNOA/USCINCEUR VAIHINGEN GE//ECJ2-OC/ECJ2-JIC//  
RUFCAID/USEUCOM AIDES VAIHINGEN GE  
RUFQAAA/HQSAFE RAMSTEIN AB GE//INOW/INO//  
RHFPAAA/UTAIS RAMSTEIN AB GE//INRMH/INA//  
RMDLCNE/CINCUSNAVEUR LONDON UK  
RUFHNA/USDELMC BRUSSELS BE  
RUFHNA/USMISSION USNATO  
RUDOGHA/USNMR SHAPE BE  
RUEAIIA/CIA WASHDC  
RUFCAID/JICEUR VAIHINGEN GE  
RUCBSAA/FICEURLANT NORFOLK VA  
RUEKJCS/SECDEF WASHDC  
RUEHC/SECSTATE WASHDC  
RUEADWW/WHITEHOUSE WASHDC  
RUFHGB/AMEMBASSY LUXEMBOURG  
RUEATAC/CDRUSAITAC WASHDC

BT

CONTROLS

[REDACTED] SECTION 01 OF 02 [REDACTED] 05049

SERIAL: (U) IIR 6 807 0136 90.

BODY

COUNTRY: (U) BELGIUM (BE).

SUBJ: IIR 6 807 0136 90/BELGIUM AND THE UFO ISSUE (U)

WARNING: (U) THIS IS AN INFORMATION REPORT, NOT FINALLY

(54)

EVALUATED INTELLIGENCE. REPORT CLASSIFIED

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

DOI: (U) 900326.

REQS: [REDACTED]

SOURCE: A- (U) LA DERNIER HEURE, 20 MAR, DAILY FRENCH LANGUAGE PAPER, CIRC 100,000; B- (U) LE SOIR, 26 MAR, DAILY FRENCH LANGUAGE PAPER, CIRC 213,000;

[REDACTED]

SUMMARY: (U) NUMEROUS UFO SIGHTINGS HAVE BEEN MADE IN BELGIUM SINCE NOV 89. THE CREDIBILITY OF SOME INDIVIDUALS MAKING THE REPORTS IS GOOD. SOME SIGHTINGS HAVE BEEN EXPLAINED BY NATURAL/MANMADE PHENOMENA, SOME HAVE NOT. INVESTIGATION BY THE BAF CONTINUES.

TEXT: 1. (U) NUMEROUS AND VARIOUS ACCOUNTS OF UFO SIGHTINGS HAVE SURFACED IN BELGIUM OVER THE PAST FEW MONTHS. THE CREDIBILITY OF THE OBSERVERS OF THE ALLEDGED EVENTS VARIES FROM THOSE WHO ARE UNSOPHISTICATED TO THOSE WHO ARE THE WELL EDUCATED AND PROMINENTLY PLACED.

2. (U) SOURCE A CITES MR LEON BRENG, A 43 YEAR OLD PROFESSOR AT THE FREE UNIVERSITY OF BRUSSELS (PROMINENT) IN THE FIELD OF STATISTICS AND PHYSICS. HE CLAIMS TO HAVE TAKEN PICTURES OF THE PHENOMENA WHICH ARE STILL BEING DEVELOPED BUT WILL BE PUBLISHED BY THE BELGIAN SOCIETY FOR THE STUDY OF SPACE PHENOMENA IF THEY ARE OF GOOD QUALITY.

3. (U) MR BRENG WAS DRIVING ON THE ARDENNES AUTOROUTE IN THE BEAUFAYS REGION EAST OF LIEGE, SUNDAY, 18 MARCH 1990. AT 2030 HOURS WHEN HE OBSERVED AN AIRBORNE OBJECT APPROACHING IN HIS DIRECTION FROM THE NORTH. IT WAS IN THE FORM OF A TRIANGLE ABOUT THE SIZE OF A PING-PONG BALL AND HAD A YELLOW LIGHT SURROUNDING IT WITH A REDDISH CENTER VARYING IN INTENSITY. ALTITUDE APPEARED TO BE 500 - 1000 METERS, MOVING AT A SLOW SPEED WITH NO SOUND. IT DID NOT MOVE OR BEHAVE LIKE AN AIRCRAFT.

4. (U) MR BRENG CONTACTED A FRIEND VERY NEAR THE AREA WHO CAME OUT AND TOOK PICTURES OF IT WITH A ZOOM LENS AND 400 ASA FILM. BOTH INSISTED THE OBJECT COULD NOT BE AN AIRCRAFT OR HOLOGRAMME PROJECTION AS THE SKY WAS CLOUDLESS.

5. (U) THE SOURCE B ARTICLE WHICH DISCUSSES A BELGIAN TELEVISION INTERVIEW WITH COL WIL ((DEBROUWER)), CHIEF OF OPERATIONS FOR THE BAF, MOST LIKELY WAS THE RESULT OF A FOLLOW-ON ACTION TAKEN BY MR BRENG WHEN HE CONTACTED LTGEN ((TERRASSON)), COMMANDER, BELGIAN TACTICAL (OPERATIONAL) COMMAND. GEN TERRASSON CATEGORICALLY ELIMINATED ANY POSSIBLE BAF AIRCRAFT OR ENGINE TEST INVOLVEMENT WHICH COL DEBROUWER CONFIRMED DURING THE 25

PAGE:0013

ADMIN  
BT

#5049



INQUIRE=DOC10D  
ITEM NO=00503294  
ENVELOPE

CDSN = LGX492 MCN = 90089/26566 TOR = 900891502  
RTTCZYUW RUEKJCS5049 0891251-CCCC--RUEALGX.  
ZNY CCCCC

HEADER

R 301251Z MAR 90  
FM JOINT STAFF WASHINGTON DC  
INFO RUEADWD/OCSA WASHINGTON DC  
RUENAAA/CNO WASHINGTON DC  
RUEAHQA/CSAF WASHINGTON DC  
RUEACHC/CMC WASHINGTON DC  
RUEDADA/AFIS AMHS BOLLING AFB DC  
RUFTAKA/CDR USAINTELCRE HEIDELBERG GE  
RUFGAID/USEUCOM AIDES VAIHINGEN GE  
RUETIAQ/MPCFTGEORCEGMEADEMD  
RUEAMCC/CMC CC WASHINGTON DC  
RUEALGX/SAFE  
R 301246Z MAR 90

FM [REDACTED]  
TO RUEKJCS/DIA WASHDC  
INFO RUEKJCS/DIA WASHDC//DAT-7//  
RUSNNOA/USCINCEUR VAIHINGEN GE//ECJ2-OC/ECJ2-JIC//  
RUFGAID/USEUCOM AIDES VAIHINGEN GE  
RHFQAAA/HQUSAFE RAMSTEIN AB GE//INOW/INO//  
RHFPAIA/UTAS RAMSTEIN AB GE//INRMH/INA//  
RHDLCNE/CINCUSNAVEUR LONDON UK  
RUFHNA/USDELMC BRUSSELS BE  
RUFHNA/USMISSION USNATO  
RUDOGHA/USNMR SHAPE BE  
RUEAIIA/CIA WASHDC  
RUFGAID/JICEUR VAIHINGEN GE  
RUCBSAA/FICEURLANT NORFOLK VA  
RUEKJCS/SECDEF WASHDC  
RUEHC/SECSTATE WASHDC  
RUEADWW/WHITEHOUSE WASHDC  
RUFHGB/AMEMBASSY LUXEMBOURG  
RUEATAC/CDRUSAITAC WASHDC  
BT

CONTROLS

SECTION 02 OF 02 [REDACTED] 05049

SERIAL: (U) IIR 6 807 0136 90.

BODY

COUNTRY: (U) BELGIUM (BE).

SUBJ: IIR 6 807 0136 90/BELGIUM AND THE UFO ISSUE (U)

MAR TV SHOW.

6. (U) DEBROUWER NOTED THE LARGE NUMBER OF REPORTED SIGHTINGS, PARTICULARLY IN NOV 89 IN THE LIEGE AREA AND THAT THE BAF AND MOD ARE TAKING THE ISSUE SERIOUSLY. BAF EXPERTS HAVE NOT BEEN ABLE TO EXPLAIN THE PHENOMENA EITHER.

7. (U) DEBROUWER SPECIFICALLY ADDRESSED THE POSSIBILITY OF THE OBJECTS BEING USAF B-2 OR F-117 STEALTH AIRCRAFT WHICH WOULD NOT APPEAR ON BELGIAN RADAR, BUT MIGHT BE SIGHTED VISUALLY IF THEY WERE OPERATING AT LOW ALTITUDE IN THE ARDENNES AREA. HE MADE IT QUITE CLEAR THAT NO USAF OVERFLIGHT REQUESTS HAD EVER BEEN RECEIVED FOR THIS TYPE MISSION AND THAT THE ALLEGED OBSERVATIONS DID NOT CORRESPOND IN ANY WAY TO THE OBSERVABLE CHARACTERISTICS OF EITHER U.S. AIRCRAFT.

8. (U) MR BRENIG HAS SINCE ASSURED THE COMMUNITY THAT HE IS PERSONALLY ORGANIZING A NEW UFO OBSERVATION CAMPAIGN AND SPECIFICALLY REQUESTS THE HELP OF THE BELGIAN MOD.

9. [REDACTED] RELATED A SIMILAR UFO SIGHTING WHICH APPARENTLY HAPPENED TO A BELGIAN AIR FORCE OFFICER IN THE SAME AREA NEAR LIEGE DURING NOVEMBER 89. THE OFFICER AND HIS WIFE WERE ALLEGEDLY BLINDED BY A HUGE BRIGHT FLYING OBJECT AS THEY WERE DRIVING ON THE AUTOROUTE. THEY STOPPED THEIR CAR, BUT WERE SO FRIGHTENED THEY ABANDONED THE VEHICLE AND RAN INTO THE WOODS. THEY COULD NOT PROVIDE A DETAILED DESCRIPTION BUT WHATEVER IT WAS DEFINITELY APPEARED REAL TO THEM. [REDACTED] UNDERLINED THEIR CREDIBILITY AS SOLID.

COMMENTS: 1. [REDACTED] COMMENT. HE COULD PROVIDE VERY LITTLE CONCRETE INFORMATION EXCEPT TO VERIFY THE LARGE VOLUME OF SIGHTINGS AND THE SIMILARITY OF SOME DURING NOV 89. [REDACTED]

2. [REDACTED] THE BAF HAS RULED SOME SIGHTINGS WERE CAUSED BY INVERSION LAYERS, LAZER BEAMS AND OTHER FORMS OF HIGH INTENSITY LIGHTING HITTING CLOUDS. BUT A REMARKABLE NUMBER OCCURRED ON CLEAR NIGHTS WITH NO OTHER EXPLAINABLE ACTIVITY NEARBY.

3. [REDACTED] THE BAF IS CONCERNED TO A POINT ABOUT THE UFO ISSUE AND IS TAKING ACTION TO INVESTIGATE INFORMATION THEY HAVE. [REDACTED] DOES ADMIT, HOWEVER, THAT HE IS NOT OPTIMISTIC ABOUT RESOLVING THE PROBLEM.

4. [REDACTED] FIELD COMMENT. THE USAF DID CONFIRM TO THE BAF AND BELGIAN MOD THAT NO USAF STEALTH AIRCRAFT WERE OPERATING IN THE ARDENNES AREA DURING THE PERIODS IN QUESTION. THIS WAS RELEASED TO THE BELGIAN PRESS AND RECEIVED WIDE DISSEMINATION.

PAGE:0016

[REDACTED]  
ADMIN

PROJ: (U)

INSTR: (U) US NO.

PREP: [REDACTED]

ACQ: [REDACTED]

DISSEM: (U) FIELD: AMEMBASSY BRUSSELS (DCM).

WARNING: (U) REPORT CLASSIFIED -- [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]  
BT

#5049



BEST COPY AVAILABLE

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF

MESSAGE CENTER

RECEIVED

JUN -3 1980

7YUM  
DIA 115-20

18134

VZCZCHLT865

MULT

ACTION

DTAI

DISTR

IADP(R1) JS(R9) JSINMCC NIDS SECDEF(87) SECDEF: USDP(15)

ATSDIAE(J1) ASDIPABE(R1) I1DIA(26) NMIC

CMC CC WASHINGTON DC

CSAF WASHINGTON DC

CNO WASHINGTON DC

CSA WASHINGTON DC

CIA WASHINGTON DC

SFCSTATE WASHINGTON DC

NSA WASH DC

FILE

(847)

TRANSIT/1542115/1542287/ABR152TOP1542264

DE RUESLMA 44888 1542115

ZNY CCCCC

R 022052Z JUN 80

FM USDAO LIMA PERU

TO RUEKJCB/DIA WASHDC

INFO RULPALJ/USCINCSO QUARRY HTS PN

MULPAFA/IIISAFSO MONARD AFB PN

BT

PE  
366103  
374100  
378340  
379133  
379180

345

SUBJ: IR 6 876 014A AB (U)

THIS IS AN INFO REPORT, NOT FINALLY EVAL INTEL

1. (U) CTRV: PERU (PE)

2. TITLE (U) UFO SIGHTED IN PERU (U)

3. (U) DATE OF INFO: 090510

4. (U) ORIG: IIISDAO AIR LIMA PERU

5. (U) REQ REFS: 2-013-PE030

6. (U) SOURCE: 6 876 0138. OFFICER IN THE PERUVIAN AIR FORCE WHO OBSERVED THE EVENT AND IS IN A POSITION TO BE PARTY TO CONVERSATION CONCERNING THE EVENT. SOURCE HAS REPORTED RELIABLY IN THE PAST.

7. SUMMARY: SOURCE REPORTED THAT A UFO WAS SPOTTED ON TWO DIFFERENT OCCASIONS NEAR PERUVIAN AIR FORCE (FAP) BASE IN SOUTHERN PERU. THE FAP TRIED TO INTERCEPT AND DESTROY THE UFO, BUT WITHOUT SUCCESS.

PAGE 1

APR 11 1980

UFO'S 120

# DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF  
MESSAGE CENTER

PAGE 2

8A. DETAILS: SOURCE TOLD RD ABOUT THE SPOTTING OF AN UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECT IN THE VICINITY OF MARIANO MELGAR AIR BASE, LA JOYA, PERU (168R5R, 87153R6W). SOURCE STATED THAT THE VEHICLE WAS SPOTTED ON TWO DIFFERENT OCCASIONS. THE FIRST WAS DURING THE MORNING HOURS OF 9 MAY 88, AND THE SECOND DURING THE EARLY EVENING HOURS OF 10 MAY 88. 10134

SOURCE STATED THAT ON 9 MAY, WHILE A GROUP OF FAP OFFICERS WERE IN FORMATION AT MARIANO MELGAR, THEY SPOTTED A UFO THAT WAS ROUND IN SHAPE, HOVERING NEAR THE AIRFIELD. THE AIR COMMANDER SCRAMBLED AN SU-22 AIRCRAFT TO MAKE AN INTERCEPT. THE PILOT, ACCORDING TO A THIRD PARTY, INTERCEPTED THE VEHICLE AND FIRED UPON IT AT VERY CLOSE RANGE WITHOUT CAUSING ANY APPARENT DAMAGE. THE PILOT TRIED TO MAKE A SECOND PASS ON THE VEHICLE, BUT THE UFO OUT-RAN THE SU-22.

THE SECOND SIGHTING WAS DURING HOURS OF DARKNESS. THE VEHICLE WAS LIGHTED. AGAIN AN SU-22 WAS SCRAMBLED, BUT THE VEHICLE OUT-RAN THE AIRCRAFT.

8B. ORIG CHTS: RD HAS HEARD DISCUSSION ABOUT THE SIGHTING FROM OTHER SOURCES. APPARENTLY SOME VEHICLE WAS SPOTTED, BUT ITS ORIGIN REMAINS UNKNOWN.

9. (U) PROJ NO: N/A

10. (U) COLL HGHT CODES: AR

11. (U) SPEC INST: NONE, DIRC: NO.

12. (U) PREP BY: NORMAN M. RUDGE, COL, AIRA

13. (U) APP BY: VAUGHN E. WILSON, CAPT, DATT, ALIISWA

14. (U) REQ EVAL: NO REL TO: NONE

15. (U) ENCL: N/A

16. (U) DIST BY ORIG: N/A

BT

0488A

ANNOTES

JAL 117

PAGE 2

0P101111

NNNN

0222087



~~UNCLASSIFIED~~

PAGE 04 257 NR 13

**7NF02**

ZNY ~~CCCCC~~

FH- JCS

RUFAIIF/G: I A.

RUFFINGTONS WASH DC

RUSSIA/WHITE HOUSE WASH DC

SIKFFHOZ/CSAF WASH DC

RIIFN A A A / C N O W A S H D C

DIET AND NUTRITION WASH DC

2306 312 SEP 75

FM USDAO TEHRAN

TO RUEFKJCS/DIA WASHDC

INFO RUMKUS/SECDEF DE SECDEF WASHDC

**RUEFRA A/CONTINENTAL**

RUNDGE/CINCUSAFE LINDSEY 25:06ZINCF

RHF0429/CINCUSAFF:RAHSTEIN AR GE/INOCN

RUSNAA A/FINDAC VAHTNGFN GER

BUSSNAG 4/118 CINCINNATI - VAIHTUNGEN GER / ECJ-2

**R.T**

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~ 1735 SEP 76

THIS IS A REC 0139.76

2- (U) IRAN

2. REPORTED INFO SIGHTING (U)

34 (U) NA .

Q. (U) 14 8 20 SEP 76

5. (U) TFHMAN. IRAN: 20 SEP.76.

6- (11) F-6

15. (U) 5 845 0008 (NOTE NO COMMENTS)

8. (11) 6 806 0139.76

9. (ii) 225FB 76

10: 000 NA

11- (U) \*INITIATE\* IPSP PT-1440.

12: (U) USDAO, TEHRAN, IRAN

13. (U) FRANK R. MCKENZIE. COL. USAF. :DA:TT

04: (1) .N2.

15. ~~IN~~ THIS REPORT FORWARDS 'INFORMATION' CONCERNING THE SIGHTING OF AN UFO IN IRAN ON 19 SEPTEMBER 1975.

EX. AT ABOUT 1230 AM ON 19 SEP 76 THE

RECEIVED FOUR TELEPHONE CALLS  
FROM CITIZENS LIVING IN THE CHEMIRAN AREA OF TEHRAN SAYING

~~UNCLASSIFIED~~

PRIORITY

PRIORITY

DECTI

MSG54

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 02 267 0213

THAT THEY HAD SEEN STRANGE OBJECTS IN THE SKY. SOME REPORTED A KIND OF BIRD-LIKE OBJECT WHILE OTHERS REPORTED A HELICOPTER WITH A LIGHT ON. THERE WERE NO HELICOPTERS AIRBORNE AT THAT TIME.]

AFTER HE TOLD THE CITIZEN IT WAS ONLY STARS AND HAD TALKED TO MEHRABAN TOWER HE DECIDED TO LOOK FOR HIMSELF. HE NOTICED AN OBJECT IN THE SKY SIMILAR TO A STAR BIGGER AND BRIGHTER. HE DECIDED TO SCRAMBLE AN F-4 FROM SHAHRUKH AFB TO INVESTIGATE.

R. AT 0130 HRS ON THE 19TH THE F-4 TOOK OFF AND PROCEEDED TO A POINT ABOUT 40 NM NORTH OF TEHRAN. DUE TO ITS BRILLIANCE THE OBJECT WAS EASILY VISIBLE FROM 70 MILES AWAY. AS THE F-4 APPROACHED A RANGE OF 25 NM HE LOST ALL INSTRUMENTATION AND COMMUNICATIONS (UHF AND INTERCOM). HE BOOKED OFF THE INTERCEPT AND HEADED BACK TO SHAHRUKH. WHEN THE F-4 TURNED AWAY FROM THE OBJECT AND APPARENTLY WAS NO LONGER A THREAT TO IT THE AIRCRAFT REGAINED ALL INSTRUMENTATION AND COMMUNICATIONS. AT 0140 HRS A SECOND F-4 WAS LAUNCHED. THE BACKSEATER ACQUIRED A RADAR LOCK ON AT 27 NM. 12 O'CLOCK HIGH POSITION WITH THE VC RATE OF CLOSURE AT 150 NM/HR. AS THE RANGE DECREASED TO 25 NM THE OBJECT MOVED AWAY AT A SPEED THAT WAS VISIBLE ON THE RADAR SCOPE AND STAYED AT 25NM.

C. THE SIZE OF THE RADAR RETURN WAS COMPARABLE TO THAT OF A 707 TANKER. THE VISUAL SIZE OF THE OBJECT WAS DIFFICULT TO DISCERN BECAUSE OF ITS INTENSE BRILLIANCE. THE LIGHT THAT IT GAVE OFF WAS THAT OF FLASHING STROBE LIGHTS ARRANGED IN A RECTANGULAR PATTERN AND ALTERNATING BLUE, GREEN, RED AND ORANGE IN COLOR. THE SEQUENCE OF THE LIGHTS WAS SO FAST THAT ALL THE COLORS COULD BE SEEN AT ONCE. THE OBJECT AND THE PURSUING F-4 CONTINUED ON A COURSE TO THE SOUTH OF TEHRAN. WHEN ANOTHER BRIGHTLY LIGHTED OBJECT, ESTIMATED TO BE ONE HALF TO ONE THIRD THE APPARENT SIZE OF THE MOON, CAME OUT OF THE ORIGINAL OBJECT. THIS SECOND OBJECT HEADED STRAIGHT TOWARD THE F-4 AT A VERY FAST RATE OF SPEED. THE PILOT ATTEMPTED TO FIRE AN AIM-9 MISSILE AT THE OBJECT BUT AT THAT INSTANT HIS WEAPONS CONTROL PANEL WENT OFF AND HE LOST ALL COMMUNICATIONS (UHF AND INTERPHONE). AT THIS POINT THE PILOT INITIATED A TURN AND NEGATIVE G DIVE TO GET AWAY. AS HE TURNED THE OBJECT FELL IN TRAIL AT WHAT APPEARED TO BE ABOUT 3-4 NM. AS HE CONTINUED IN HIS TURN AWAY FROM THE PRIMARY OBJECT THE SECOND OBJECT WENT TO THE INSIDE OF HIS TURN THEN RETURNED TO THE PRIMARY OBJECT FOR A PERFECT REJOIN.

D. SHORTLY AFTER THE SECOND OBJECT JOINED UP WITH THE PRIMARY OBJECT ANOTHER OBJECT APPEARED TO COME OUT OF THE

PRIORITY

UNCLASSIFIED



PRIORITY

DCYI

MSG654

\*\*\*\*\*  
\*\*\*\*\*  
\*\*\*\*\*

PAGE 03 267 HRTJ

OTHER SIDE OF THE PRIMARY OBJECT GOING STRAIGHT DOWN AT A  
GREAT RATE OF SPEED. THE F-4 CREW HAD REGAINED COMMUNICATIONS  
AND THE WEAPONS CONTROL PANEL AND WATCHED THE OBJECT APPROACH  
THE GROUND ANTICIPATING A LARGE EXPLOSION. THIS OBJECT APPEARED  
TO COME TO REST GENTLY ON THE EARTH AND CAST A VERY BRIGHT  
LIGHT OVER AN AREA OF ABOUT 2-3 KILOMETERS.  
THE CREW DESCENDED FROM THEIR ALTITUDE OF 25K TO 15K AND  
CONTINUED TO OBSERVE AND MARK THE OBJECT'S POSITION. THEY  
HAD SOME DIFFICULTY IN ADJUSTING THEIR NIGHT VISIBILITY FOR  
LANDING SO AFTER ORBITING MEHRABAD A FEW TIMES THEY WENT OUT  
FOR A STRAIGHT IN LANDING. THERE WAS A LOT OF INTERFERENCE  
ON THE UHF AND EACH TIME THEY PASSED THROUGH A MAG. BEARING  
OF 150 DEGREE FROM FHRABAD THEY LOST THEIR COMMUNICATIONS (UHF  
AND INTERPHONE) AND THE INS FLUCTUATED FROM 30 DEGREES - 50 DEGREE  
THE ONE CIVIL AIRLINER THAT WAS APPROACHING MEHRABAD DURING THIS  
SAME TIME EXPERIENCED COMMUNICATIONS FAILURE IN THE SAME  
VICINITY (KILQ 70111) BUT DID NOT REPORT SEEING ANYTHING.  
WHILE THE F-4 WAS ON A LONG FINAL APPROACH THE CREW NOTICED  
ANOTHER CYLINDER SHAPED OBJECT (ABOUT THE SIZE OF A T-BIRD  
AT 10K) WITH BRIGHT STEADY LIGHTS ON EACH END AND A FLASHER  
IN THE MIDDLE. WHEN QUERIED THE TOWER STATED THERE WAS NO  
OTHER KNOWN TRAFFIC IN THE AREA. DURING THE TIME THAT THE  
OBJECT PASSED OVER THE F-4 THE TOWER DID NOT HAVE A VISUAL  
ON IT BUT PICKED IT UP AFTER THE PILOT TOLD THEM TO LOOK  
BEHIND THE MOUNTAINS AND THE REFINERY.

E. DURING DAYLIGHT THE F-4 CREW WAS TAKEN OUT TO THE  
AREA IN A HELICOPTER WHERE THE OBJECT APPARENTLY HAD LANDED.  
NOTHING WAS NOTICED AT THE SPOT WHERE THEY THOUGHT THE OBJECT  
LANDED (A DRY LAKE BED) BUT AS THEY CIRCLED OFF TO THE  
WEST OF THE AREA THEY PICKED UP A VERY NOTICEABLE BEEPER  
SIGNAL. AT THE POINT WHERE THE RETURN WAS THE LOUDEST WAS  
A SMALL HOUSE WITH A GARDEN. THEY LANDED AND ASKED THE PEOPLE  
WITHIN IF THEY HAD NOTICED ANYTHING STRANGE LAST NIGHT. THE  
PEOPLE TALKED ABOUT A LOUD NOISE AND A VERY BRIGHT LIGHT  
LIKE LIGHTENING. THE AIRCRAFT AND AREA WHERE THE OBJECT IS  
BELIEVED TO HAVE LANDED ARE BEING CHECKED FOR POSSIBLE RADIATION.

MORE INFORMATION WILL BE

FORWARDED WHEN IT BECOMES AVAILABLE.

X604-7-11AF02000

BT

29717

ATCCZYUW RUEKJCS9717 2670810:0130-CCCC

2670814

PRIORITY

\*\*\*\*\*  
UNCLASSIFIED  
\*\*\*\*\*

~~SECRET~~

\*\*\*\*\*  
~~SECRET~~  
\*\*\*\*\*



2-1 D-1  
CC - 1

RITZYVW RUFLOJA9136

ZNY ~~SECRET~~

GT

~~SECRET~~ FOR AFOSI ONLY

R 171130Z NOV 80

FM HQ AFOSI BOLLING AFB DC//IVOE

TO NAVHRTA AFOSI DIST 17 KIRKLAND AFB TX//BID

INFO 7602 AINTELG FT BELVOIR VA//INSH

~~SECRET~~ FOR AFOSI ONLY

REF: REQUEST FOR PHOTO IMAGERY INTERPRETATION YOUR MSG 292030Z OCT 80.

SUBJECT CASE NR: 8017093-126 HQ CR 44

1. SUBJECT NEGATIVES/FILM WERE ANALYZED BY HQ IVT AND 7602 AINTELG. IT AND THE FOLLOWING RESULTS WERE FOUND:

A. NEGATIVE #1: DEPICTING C-5A AIRCRAFT ON APPROACH AND STRIKING UNIDENTIFIED AERIAL OBJECT IN LOWER RIGHT PORTION OF FILM. FILM FOUND TO BE UNALTERED. SIZE DIFFERENTIAL WAS NOT CONSISTENT WITH SIZE OF AIRCRAFT. CONCLUSION: INCONCLUSIVE

B. NEGATIVE #2: DEPICTING CYLINDER SHAPED UNIDENTIFIED AERIAL OBJECT IN UPPER LEFT PORTION OF PHOTO. FILM FOUND TO BE UNALTERED. FILM SHOWED OBJECT TO BE CONSISTENT WITH FIELD DEPTH AND CONSISTENT WITH RELATIVE SIZE OF FIXED OBJECTS. CONCLUSION: LEGITIMATE NEGATIVE OF UNIDENTIFIED AERIAL OBJECT. BOLTON/REINFELD METHOD DID NOT REVEAL VISIBLE MARKINGS ON OBJECT.

C. NEGATIVE #3: DEPICTING IRREGULAR SHAPED UNIDENTIFIED AERIAL OBJECT IN SEVEN FRAMES OF 8MM FILM. BECAUSE OF THE SIZE AND APPARENT SPEED OF OBJECT NO FURTHER CLASSIFICATION OR CONCLUSION COULD BE DRAWN. FILM SHOWN TO BE UNALTERED.

D. 3/4 INCHES OF 8MM FILM: DEPICTING APPARENT COLORED OBJECT MOVING IN FRONT OF STILL CAMERA. FILM FOUND TO BE UNALTERED. SIMULTANEOUSLY REVEALED CAPABLE TO BE BASIC PRISM FEATURES. DEPTH ANALYSIS REVEALED OBJECT TO BE WITHIN 152MM OF CAMERA. OBJECT WAS NOT CONSISTENT WITH RELATIVE SIZE OF FIXED OBJECTS OBSERVED FOR SEVERAL SECONDS IN FILM. CONCLUSION: INCONCLUSIVE.

E. ORIGINAL NEGATIVE DEPICTING UNIDENTIFIED OBJECT. FILM FOUND TO BE UNALTERED. BECAUSE OF A LACK OF FIXED OBJECTS IN THE FILM, NO DEPTH ANALYSIS COULD BE PERFORMED. BOLTON/REINFELD METHOD REVEALED OBJECT TO BE SAUCER SHAPED, APPROXIMATE DIAMETER 37 FEET. OBJECT CONTAINED A TRIANGULAR INSIGNIA ON THE LOWER PORTION OF OBJECT. CONCLUSION: LEGITIMATE NEGATIVE OF UNIDENTIFIED AERIAL OBJECT.

2. REF YOUR REQUEST FOR FURTHER INFORMATION REGARDING HQ CR 44. THE FOLLOWING IS PROVIDED: CAPT GRACE 7602 AINTELG INS CONTACTED AND RELATED FOLLOWING: (S/WINTEL) USAF NO LONGER PUBLICLY ACTIVE IN UFO RESEARCH, HOWEVER USAF STILL HAS INTEREST IN ALL UFO SIGHTINGS OVER USAF INSTALLATION/TEST RANGES. SEVERAL OTHER GOVERNMENT AGENCIES, LEAD BY NASA, ACTIVELY INVESTIGATES LEGITIMATE SIGHTINGS THROUGH COVERT COVER. (S/WINTEL/FSA) ONE SUCH COVER IS UFO REPORTING CENTER, US COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY, ROCKVILLE, MD 20852. NASA FILTERS RESULTS OF SIGHTINGS TO APPROPRIATE MILITARY DEPARTMENTS WITH INTEREST IN THAT PARTICULAR SIGHTING. THE OFFICIAL US GOVERNMENT POLICY AND RESULTS OF PROJECT AQUARIUS IS STILL CLASSIFIED TOP SECRET WITH NO DISSEMINATION OUTSIDE OFFICIAL INTELLIGENCE CHANNELS AND WITH RESTRICTED ACCESS TO "HU TWELVE". CASE OR IDENTIFY IS BEING MONITORED BY NASA INS, WHO REQUEST ALL FUTURE EVIDENCE BE FORWARDED TO THEM THRU AFOSI, IVOE.

4. REF YOUR REQUEST FOR TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE. BECAUSE OF A CHANCE OF PUBLIC DISCLOSURE, NO KNOWLEDGEABLE PERSONNEL WITH SPA WILL BE PROVIDED. CONTINUE TO OBTAIN ASSISTANCE FROM INDIVIDUALS MENTIONED IN YOUR MESSAGE, MITCHELL PLANTE. BECAUSE OF THE SENSITIVITY OF CASE, REQUEST THEY BE THOROUGHLY DEBRIEFED AT REGULAR INTERVALS.

BTS

LEIS

DOWNRUE 17NOV7120

~~SECRET~~  
\*\*\*\*\*  
~~SECRET~~  
\*\*\*\*\*



**The Center for the Study of  
Extraterrestrial Intelligence**

**CSETI**

**BRIEFING DOCUMENT**



**DRAFT**

Steven M. Greer, M.D.

1994

# Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

## Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001c. briefing paper	The Center for Study of Extraterrestrial Intelligence [partial] (1 page)	n.d.	P6/b(6)

### COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records  
NSC Records Management  
([Flying Saucer or UFO])  
OA/Box Number: 599

### FOLDER TITLE:

9506127

2006-0474-F

ch116

### RESTRICTION CODES

#### Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

#### Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]



# CSETI

---

## The Center for the Study of Extraterrestrial Intelligence

Steven M. Greer, International Director  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

Post Office Box 15401  
Asheville, North Carolina 28813

Home Office: [REDACTED] (b)(6)

Fax: [REDACTED]  
704-274-6766

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

---

I.	Introduction	1
II.	CSETI Comprehensive Assessment Summary	2
III.	The Foundation of Interplanetary Unity	5
IV.	Brief Summary of CSETI Research Results	10
V.	Strategies and Rationale for Changing Government Policy	12
VI.	CSETI History	14
VII.	Biographies	16
VIII.	Policy Institute, Research & Training Center Chart	22



## INTRODUCTION

CSETI is a non-profit tax-exempt research and educational organization incorporated in the state of North Carolina with members worldwide. Its primary goals are to:

- A. Establish a real-time diplomatic and research initiative to contact extraterrestrial civilizations, leading to a sustainable, peaceful and mutually beneficial relationship; and
- B. Educate all sectors of human society about this subject in a credible and non-harmful manner.

The CSETI project currently has 25 Working Groups worldwide which are conducting research and is guided by a 10-member Executive Council and an International Director, Steven M. Greer, M.D. A senior diplomatic and research team known as the Rapid Mobilization Investigative Team (RMIT) responds to areas of significant apparent extraterrestrial activity and has successfully established contact with extraterrestrial spacecraft in the United States, Mexico, England, and Belgium (see CSETI research results case summaries, section III and Appendix II).

CSETI is funded through charitable donations and memberships and has an all-volunteer staff. For further information about CSETI, see Appendix II.

The CSETI project will most likely advance in three phases:

**Phase I:** Establishing of research teams and RMIT with resulting low-level to moderate contact events; initiating early public education efforts on this subject; development of basic organizational structure and infrastructure. Phase I has been completed.

**Phase II:** Collecting the best available evidence on this subject with subsequent high-level briefings to leaders in society, world governments and international leadership; continuing RMIT and Working Group development with increasingly significant extraterrestrial contact; further organizational development with the securing of adequate funding commensurate with the scope and significance of the CSETI project; conducting a public announcement on this subject after leadership briefings are completed, with subsequent worldwide public education via major media. Phase II is in progress and advancing rapidly.

**Phase III:** Following the heightening of world awareness on this subject, we anticipate a significant augmentation in more direct and formal contact between CSETI teams and extraterrestrial civilizations. Indeed, the successful completion of Phase II in a controlled and non-harmful fashion is essential to more open and formal contact between humans and extraterrestrial peoples. It is anticipated that a full landing and boarding sequence will occur in this phase within the next 2-5 years.



## CSETI COMPREHENSIVE ASSESSMENT SUMMARY

- Recent worldwide trends of increasing extraterrestrial activity, coupled with significant advancement in CSETI research results, suggest that imminent extraterrestrial events and CSETI initiatives will soon overtake current government policy on this issue. It is recommended that governments worldwide review and change existing policy to one of increasing openness, disclosure and cooperation with civilian research teams. (See section IV on Strategies and Rationale for Changing Government Policy).
- Some UFOs are extraterrestrial spacecraft (ETS) which are piloted by extraterrestrial biological entities (EBEs) who originate on another planet, and most likely another star system.
- More than one extraterrestrial civilization is represented in the current activities involving earth.
- These extraterrestrial civilizations are working in concert and not competitively, although there is some specialization in function and activities for the various groups. This indicates that there exists either an emerging or well-established organization for these groups.
- These beings have bases within this solar system and may maintain temporary bases on earth, particularly under water.
- The variety in sizes and shapes of ETS observed is due to:
  - \* varying civilizations of origin, and more importantly,
  - \* specialization of function, which include general reconnaissance, medical research and intelligence, earth environmental research, central command and base operations, energy generation and transfer, and human technology, military and space program research and reconnaissance.
- Sudden and fully open contact with human civilization has been avoided because of a number of interrelated factors, including:
  - \* the need to avoid an untimely disruption of earth civilization including military, political, geopolitical, cultural, economic, technological and religious upheaval;
  - \* risks to their own civilization and "people" as well as overall mission, given human xenophobia and tendencies towards violent armed reactions; and
  - \* Up to this time, such massive and open contact has not been necessary, and has not been consonant with their overall long-term mission and purpose.
- A Plan is in place to allow for gradually broader and deeper contact with human society and individuals so that humans may become accustomed to the reality of other intelligent beings in the universe, and so that needed research and observation may take place on both sides. Limited but



increasing opportunities for bilateral and human initiated contact will occur in the reasonably near future.

- Sudden and large scale contact will occur only in the event of a significant worldwide emergency (manmade or natural).
- While ET observation and interaction with earth is probably an ancient as opposed to purely modern phenomenon, the marked increase in activity coinciding with World War II and the dawning of the "nuclear age" indicates that ETI are quite concerned about this transitional stage of human social evolution.
- Extraterrestrial intelligence (ETI) technology is strictly guarded by both ETI and human governmental agencies because of its potential for military applications which would greatly threaten world security. It is imperative that this technology not find significant human applications until such time as the earth is ready for it
- The US government, at least at the level of a highly compartmentalized top secret group, has known about the reality of these ETS and their occupants since at least 1947. A strict secrecy has been maintained due to:
  - \* Fear of public panic and social disruption;
  - \* Security issues surrounding possible military and technology application of ETI technology, especially in the setting of a world beset with Cold War tensions and competing interests;
  - \* Uncertainty and mistrust regarding ETI motives and intentions;
  - \* Embarrassment and consternation over human military inability to secure world air space from repeated penetrations by ETS.
- The U.S. government possesses several ETSs and the deceased bodies of several EBEs.
- The nature of "reality" of these craft and these beings has been incorrectly characterized by some as belonging to "another dimension and reality" from this dimension. Unfortunately, these statements are made without fully considering what "this reality" is. A more correct understanding of this is that the Full Spectrum of Reality is a singular, integrated one which may be perceived wholly or in its various aspects, such perception being entirely dependent on the level of consciousness of the perceiver. The various aspects of "dimensions" of this reality, which are limitless in number, are not restricted to or by time and space as we know it commonly. These beings and their craft belong to the same Reality as humans, however, their advancement in the realms of physical science and the science of consciousness has given them competence in a broader aspect of the Full Spectrum of Reality than is usually experienced by humans. The difference, then, is one of degree and not fundamental reality, since humans potentially have access to all aspects of the Full Spectrum of Reality just as extraterrestrial beings do. These differences are not insurmountable, nor are they truly fundamental; in fact they are relative and only temporary. While these beings are utilizing and experiencing a broader aspect of the Full

Spectrum of Reality, so too can and will humans, for we are as "inter-dimensional" by nature as they.

- ETS energy and "propulsion" systems utilize principles and laws of the physical universe not yet fully appreciated by human science, and may include:
  - \* Gravity/anti-gravity and electromagnetic/gravity technology;
  - \* Space energy and so-called hyperdimensional energy systems;
  - \* Matter-energy interchangeable technologies; and
  - \* Possible Consciousness Assisted Technology (CAT) and Technology Assisted Consciousness (TAC).
- Some, if not all, EBEs have advanced mental capabilities which include telepathy, precognition, remote viewing and others, indicating that they have developed the "science of consciousness" to a degree which parallels or surpasses their advanced physical technologies. Humans also possess these capabilities, but these remain largely undeveloped by most humans.
- EBEs are more developed or advanced than humans in a number of respects, but are not superior to humans as beings. Our point of unity and equality with EBEs is in our mutual existence as conscious intelligent beings. While these various EBEs may have values and priorities which are different from those of some 20th century humans, their motives and ultimate intentions are non-hostile and do not include the acquisition or subjugation of the earth and its peoples.
- The establishing of a lasting world peace and a just and effective world government is essential to the long-term ETI-Human relationship.



### III

#### The Foundation of Interplanetary Unity

The evidence that earth is being visited by at least one extraterrestrial civilization is extensive both in scope and detail. In its totality, it comprises a body of evidence which at the very least supports the general assessment that extraterrestrial life has been detected, and that a vigorous program of research and serious diplomatic initiatives is warranted.

Consider the following overview of facts:

- ♦ There are numerous daytime and nighttime photographs and videotapes of clearly non-human spacecraft from all over the world; these films and videotapes have been evaluated and deemed authentic by competent experts in optical physics and related fields.
- ♦ There are over 3500 military and commercial aircraft pilot reports of encounters worldwide; many cases have corroborating radar documentation and multiple witnesses both on the ground and in the air.
- ♦ There are over 4000 landing trace cases from around the world.
- ♦ There are hundreds of electromagnetic cases where spacecraft have been observed by police, military personnel and civilians to affect car engines, radios and other electric devices.
- ♦ There are over 100 first- and second-hand witnesses to the retrieval of an extraterrestrial spacecraft and at least four extraterrestrial bodies from a crash which occurred in July, 1947, 75 miles northwest of Roswell New Mexico; written and videotaped testimony from several first-hand witnesses who are respected military officers have been obtained.
- ♦ There are hundreds of credible reports, many with multiple witnesses, of humanoids in association with landed spacecraft.
- ♦ There are several multiple-witnessed events where humans have been taken on board spacecraft.
- ♦ CSETI (The Center for the Study of Extraterrestrial Intelligence) has in the past 18 months succeeded in intentionally establishing contact with extraterrestrial spacecraft, on two occasions at very close range, and with multiple witnesses present.
- ♦ Various polls have indicated that approximately 10% of Americans (25 million people) have seen them at close range so that details of the structure of the object can be discerned.
- ♦ Numerous U.S. Government documents exist which indicate that these objects are real and have been involved with observing earth for several decades.



It is an understatement to say that the time has arrived for a serious and open international dialogue regarding the possibility of future interplanetary relations. In no other area of human experience has so much evidence existed for so long, and yet been attended by such a paucity of serious research and analysis - at least in the civilian domain. I was once asked at a conference what was the most astounding aspect of this subject, and I replied that, while the subject matter itself is extraordinary, it is the absence of a serious human response to it that is most extraordinary.

Indeed, the true barriers to the furtherance of this field of study are neither scientific nor evidential, rather they are political, psychological, social, spiritual, and "paradigmatic". Admittedly, it takes real courage for a person, otherwise respected in his or her profession and community, to stand up and speak the truth about a subject which has been the stuff of sensational tabloid claims, crackpots, and the "cottage industry" in the UFO subculture. The social stigma and aprobrum associated with this subject is a formidable barrier to the serious treatment it deserves, and yet if only a 10% probability exists that we have detected extraterrestrial life which is already visiting earth--this being one of the most profound discoveries in human history--we must find the inner fortitude to go forward.

To the sincere person who remains skeptical, consider this: Absolute proof is not needed before serious research begins on a subject. In no other field of human endeavor is proof required *before* serious study and research begins; on the contrary, proof is the result of this research. In this regard, the subject of extraterrestrial studies is being held to an irrational requirement of proof before serious research can begin, on the one hand, and denounced as an area of spurious interest because no proof exists, on the other!

This, then, is not a function of scientific reason or evidence, but is the result of a political, social and psychological reaction to a subject which causes most serious scientists to blush. Our collective temerity is driven in part by fear of the unknown, in part by fear of ridicule, and in part by faint-heartedness. The evidence, however, is so profound, the implications so vast, and the consequences of doing nothing so dire that we have reached the point where we must find the fortitude to respond to this subject in a mature and responsible way.

To any impartial observer, the evidence which exists certainly supports a greater than 10% probability that we are being visited by advanced extraterrestrial civilizations. Indeed, to most people who have seen the data in its entirety, the probability is in excess of 90%. Having spoken to several government officials who have held Top Secret clearances on this subject, and who have confirmed to me that the U.S. Government has in its possession several objects and bodies of extraterrestrial origin, my own personal assessment approaches certainty. Beyond this, I have personally been present when these spacecraft have approached the CSETI research teams within a few hundred feet, and have then signaled repeatedly to us. Frankly, there is very little doubt in my mind that we are dealing with at least one extraterrestrial civilization, and that they are non-hostile.



However, for argument sake, let us state that all of the evidence available to us in the civilian domain constitutes only a 10% probability of contact with extraterrestrial intelligence. Does this not constitute adequate evidence to initiate a serious scientific and diplomatic mission? Certainly in medicine a 10% probability of finding a cure for cancer would warrant an all out effort in the cancer research community. For it is generally true that when the stakes are very high, the probability of success need not be, prior to initiating a research project. Without question, the stakes are so high in this area that even a 10% probability is adequate to mount one of the most extensive worldwide research initiatives in history.

This question, however, is not limited to the realm of science alone, for as soon as one makes the assessment, however tentative, that we are being visited by advanced extraterrestrial life forms, the larger question of interplanetary (and inter-species) relations becomes preeminent. This is, then, the ultimate diplomatic challenge. And to find our common ground with intelligent life forms which are not even human may prove to be the most extraordinary task humanity has ever faced.

For witness how difficult it has been for humanity to come together in peace among the diverse peoples of the world. Notwithstanding the fact that we are all human, living on the one homeland called earth, yet wars, prejudices and enmity have repeatedly submerged the world into chaos and destruction. As the world moves, in fits and starts, towards a true world civilization, and the people of the world increasingly realize their essential unity as humans, the potential for increasingly open contact between humans and other intelligent life-forms is likely to increase exponentially.

However, before we can entertain this concept, we must first ask ourselves some basic questions:

- What global developments must occur before full open contact can take place?
- How will communication occur, and what should be communicated?
- Prior to full, open contact, what form of contact and communication is possible, in a pioneering or preliminary framework?
- And most importantly, what are the fundamental points of unity between humans and other intelligent life forms in the universe? What is our universal common ground?

Regarding this last question, we cannot assume that extraterrestrial life forms who are thinking, sentient beings, and who by definition have evolved under conditions different from those on earth, are likely to be much like humans, at least superficially. Their level of intelligence, fund of knowledge, emotional nature, social and political structures, ethical, moral and religious systems--and more--are likely to be quite different from that of humans. We must therefore find a deeper point of unity, what I call our highest common denominator.

This consideration, however abstract it may seem to some, is really central to establishing a sense of common ground between intelligent species. And without common ground, we can have no relationship.



I hold that this common ground is so obvious, and yet hidden, so near, and yet so overlooked, that it is easily missed altogether. It is at once simple and profound, proximal and yet hard to grasp. It is really the universal constant found in every intelligent life form: It is *conscious intelligence*.

Pure "awakeness" or conscious intelligence is what every conscious, sentient being in the universe *is*, in its essential nature. The universal - or non-anthropocentric - aspect of human consciousness is that highest common denominator on which a sustainable relationship between diverse life forms can be based. As humans, we are conscious, awake; other higher life forms are conscious and awake. We may share very little else in common, but this universal constant is shared, by definition, by all intelligent, conscious beings, whether human or extraterrestrial.

Consciousness, or universal conscious intelligence, then is the first cornerstone of the foundation of interplanetary unity.

Having realized the essential oneness which all intelligent higher life forms share, we are then faced with the practical challenge of formulating an approach to interplanetary and inter-species relations which is peaceful, sustainable and mutually beneficial. Here are a few of the principles which appear to be essential to the successful, sustained engagement of extraterrestrial civilizations:

- Any successful approach will incorporate a global perspective, and not one driven by nationalistic or strictly national security imperatives. The motive behind any attempt at contact and communication should clearly be globally based, and any benefits resulting from contact must benefit all the peoples of the world, and not just one nation or culture. The evolution of the world into a true global civilization will certainly facilitate this type of relationship. This entire matter, then, should be the focus of the international community. One of the hallmarks of the maturation of the human race is the attainment of a true world civilization and the establishing of a just and representative world government, which should be empowered to respond to the challenge of interplanetary relations. Until such a body is effectively functioning, any group attempting such contact should be operating within this global perspective.
- The motivation of such contact and relations should be based in the principle of mutual benefit, and be free of exploitative motives and unilateral acquisition-oriented goals. Until a permanent world peace and order can be achieved on earth, the acquisition of extraterrestrial technology, which most likely would be used for unilateral military application, should be avoided.
- While the precise motives for current extraterrestrial involvement with this planet may not be fully known, there is no evidence that they are motivated by any net hostile intentions. Until proven otherwise, therefore, it should be assumed that any such visitors are non-hostile and approachable. It is critical that humans avoid making anthropocentric assumptions regarding extraterrestrial motives, since it is likely that their ethical, moral, social and political concepts vary from those of many earth-based cultures. Recognizing the human tendency towards xenophobia, prejudice and fear of the unknown, we must evaluate extraterrestrial motives cautiously, and, to the extent possible, from an interplanetary perspective.



- The attainment of a healthy and appropriate collective self-esteem for humans is essential for an appropriate relationship to evolve between humans and any other extraterrestrial species. Individuals and organizations involved with interplanetary contact should avoid the common tendency to swing between viewing advanced technological beings as intrinsically superior or inferior. It is more sustainable to view such variations as simply differences which are the product of diverse evolutionary paths, and that on the level of conscious intelligence, all sentient beings are essentially equal. Here, equality does not require sameness.
- It should be realized that until the world achieves the level of maturity described above, that any direct contact and relationship which develops may be limited, preliminary, and by nature pioneering. Given recent CSETI successes in this regard, we can make the initial assessment that such contact is likely, and will progress as the world becomes increasingly a genuine global civilization.
- The knowledge of any contact and evolving relationship should be shared with all the peoples of the world to the fullest extent possible, and in a timely fashion. Secret or covert contact should be viewed as intrinsically dysfunctional and should be avoided to the fullest extent possible.
- A multi-disciplinary and multi-cultural approach to any contact, relationship, or exchange should be used. Communication itself may proceed verbally, electronically, "symbolically/archetypically" or even telepathically.
- A very broad view must be maintained in evaluating the manifestations of an advanced extraterrestrial civilization, since their technological development may be thousands to hundreds of thousands -- and conceivably millions - of years more advanced than earth's. The manifestations of such an advanced technology may appear magical to humans, even as a hologram, TV, or laser would appear magical to people of the 17th century. The tendency to pronounce such manifestations as "supernatural" or magical should be avoided.

Are we alone in the universe, and have other intelligent life forms found their way to our small part of the galaxy? The best available evidence suggest that, no, we are not alone, and yes, they have already found us. The real question at this point may be "what are we going to do about it?" We must come together to search for answers to this and even more difficult questions, for nothing less than the future of planet earth may hang in the balance. If we are wrong, and all of the existing evidence points to some other phenomenon, then the attempt to contact whatever that phenomenon is may prove extremely enlightening. But if we are correct in our assessment, and we are being visited by advanced extraterrestrial civilizations, then the risks of doing nothing are immense, and the benefits of acting wisely will change the world as we know it.

In either case, the time has come to act.



## IV

### BRIEF SUMMARY OF CSETI RESEARCH RESULTS

#### **A. Mexico January 30, 1993:**

On January 30, 1993, the RMIT was activated and sent to the volcanic zone outside of Mexico City, Mexico. Just before 12:30 a.m., local time, on January 31, 1993, while engaging in CSETI protocols to vector extraterrestrial spacecraft into the site, the entire team was briefly engulfed in a beam of amber light, which originated in the northwest sky. No conventional source for this beam was found. Later, on February 1, 1993, the team was able to vector into the site a large triangular craft, measuring 300-800 feet in diameter (one to three football fields). This craft responded to the team's signals, circled the area, and descended with its leading edge fully illuminated in what appeared to be a landing attempt. This triangular craft was approximately 300 feet in elevation and under 5000 feet from the research site. It had a brilliant light at each corner of the triangle, and a red pulsating light in the center. It was accompanied by a small red-orange probe or scout craft. As the craft left the areas, it repeatedly returned signals from the team, and then dipped below a ridge and was not seen again that night. This contact lasted about ten minutes. On February 2, 1993, the same type craft returned, this time under 200 feet, and again signaled in an interactive manner with the team. During the last 24 hours the team was in Mexico, it encountered during daylight hours brilliant, shiny metallic discs which hovered or flew near the team members. Four such discs on four separate occasions were encountered by the team on February 3 and 4, 1993.

#### **B. England July 27, 1992:**

At approximately 12:20 a.m. on July 27, 1992, on an 1800 acre farm near Alton Barnes, Wiltshire, England, the CSETI RMIT was successful in vectoring into the site a large, brilliantly lit, disc-shaped, domed craft, measuring 80-150 feet in diameter. This craft was silent, and less than 400 yards away, and came to within 10-30 feet of the ground. It was, in fact, in the same wheat field in which the RMIT was located. This craft signaled repeatedly to the group of four researchers, and a small amber object at one point detached from the object and went up into the clouds. While at close proximity, this craft caused magnetic disturbances to the compass so that the needle rotated counterclockwise 360 degrees around the compass dial. This near-landing event concluded with the craft receding into the mist and out of visible range after 10-15 minutes of signaling with the team.

#### **C. Florida March 14, 1992:**

On March 14, 1992, at 8:24 p.m., CST, on a beach near Pensacola, Florida, over 40 people present for a CSETI research team training exercise, were able to vector into the area four silent, hovering craft, which signaled repeatedly to the group, and changed formation in response to the group's light signaling. This event was observed from six locations, and videotapes and photographic



evidence were obtained. These objects were under intelligent control and moved towards the group while exchanging light signaling with the team. This event lasted for 10-15 minutes.

#### **D. Belgium February 5, 1992:**

Having learned of a significant UFO wave in Belgium, the CSETI RMIT, consisting of four people, departed for Brussels on February 5, 1992. On the night of February 9-10, the team conducted research under unfavorable weather conditions near the town of Eupan, Belgium. At 12:30 a.m. on the 10th the team saw at low elevation four objects approximately 300 feet away, brightly lit, which vanished suddenly after about five minutes of observation. The team returned the next morning to the site, and no explanation for the objects was found.

On the night of February 10, 1992, the team proceeded to a high ridge near Henri-Chapelle, and at 12:45 a.m. on the 11th successfully vectored into the site a large triangular, silent craft which dipped below the low cloud cover to reveal a brilliantly lit apex of the triangle. The light on this part of the craft was about the size of a full moon. A few minutes later the team heard a low vibratory rumbling directly above them, which seemed to be turned on and off in rapid succession twice. This vibration was above the team in the clouds and was stationary.

V  
STRATEGIES AND RATIONALE  
FOR  
CHANGING GOVERNMENT POLICY

It is recommended that current government policy on UFOs/ETI be changed to one of increasing disclosure, openness, and cooperation with civilian researchers. Failure to effect a review and policy change within the next 12-24 months may result in significant unfortunate outcomes insofar as it is likely that both civilian research initiatives (e.g., CSETI RMIT research projects) and imminent extraterrestrial events will overtake current policy.

A "window of opportunity" exists for the Administration to effect such a change. This unique window exists as the result of the confluence of two significant events:

1. The existing policy of secrecy exists, in part, because of justifiable concerns during the Cold War, which included the control of possible developments *vis-a-vis* extraterrestrial technology, as well as more general psychological and sociological concerns. The ending of the Cold War provides for a plausible rationale for changing current policy at this time, and would provide the current Administration with an excellent explanation for the public so that past secrecy could be justified, thereby minimizing any embarrassment to past administrations, or to career government, military, and intelligence employees. Since some time has already elapsed since the end of the Cold War, this rationale is highly time sensitive.

2. Since the Clinton Administration is less than one year old, the President, the Secretary of Defense the Director of Central Intelligence, the new Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff and other key government figures will have "plausible deniability" on this issue, so long as a policy change occurs in the first one to two years of the term. After that time, the Administration could be harmed by a late, uncontrolled disclosure since such an event would play to the public in one of two equally unacceptable ways: If the Administration claims ignorance on a subject this important, it will be accused of incompetence. And if the Administration admits to knowing about this subject, it will be subject to accusations of unwarranted secrecy, and possibly even "cover-up" by the media and public. At this point in time, the Administration would not be faulted for a one-to two-year delay in disclosure, and may even be viewed heroically by a majority of the American people, inasmuch as a majority of Americans already believe that UFOs are real and are most likely interplanetary craft.

We believe that this "window" provides for an historic opportunity to change current policy and enter a new era of openness on this important subject.

Beyond this, such a policy of openness, with the resulting disclosure of the existence of extraterrestrial life, could be a world unifying event which would serve the over-arching goal of world peace. Suddenly, the earth would indeed appear as one homeland inhabited by one people.



In advance of any change in policy, and subsequent disclosures, we would advise a concerted effort to confidentially brief select world leaders, leaders in society (including media, religious, business and academic leaders) and scientific leaders. CSETI, in cooperation with other researchers and organizations, has already begun this process, and welcomes cooperative initiatives from the current Administration.

Furthermore, it is our sincere desire that this matter be handled in such a way that neither the government of the United States nor world society in general will be harmed. We would like to extend our offer to help in this process to the fullest extent possible, and recommend that a dialogue on this subject proceed in a thoughtful yet somewhat urgent manner.

We also recommend that the international community be encouraged to support and expand a worldwide diplomatic and research initiative to establish increasingly open contact between earth civilization and extraterrestrial civilizations. C SETI has already established such an initiative and is willing to work openly with the international community to advance this process.

## VI

### C S E T I History

- 1990 \* Dr. Steven M. Greer of Asheville, North Carolina, establishes CSETI, calling it a scientific and visionary project of 60 to 100 years to make assessments about ETI and, ultimately, to establish peaceful interplanetary relationships.
- \* Late in the year, small Working Groups are established during a University of North Carolina conference. A temporary Executive Committee is formed.
- 1991 \* Working Group Protocols are established in April for real-time research and diplomatic projects.
- \* A March 15 CE-5 occurs in Dandridge, Tenn., near the site of a CSETI research operation. The incident occurred during multiple sightings in that area, including one UFO appearance which the local sheriff video-taped. These UFO activities culminated in May, with the reported landing of one UFO, which left a circular imprint approximately 28-feet in diameter.
- \* The first outstate CSETI Working Group is formed in Denver. Subsequent groups are established in Phoenix, central and southern Florida, Ft. Collins, Co., Los Angeles, Belgium, and late in '92, Germany.
- 1992 \* February 9. In Belgium, a CE-5 takes place with a CSETI team and Belgium authorities and scientists present during a "wave" of UFO activity. More than 3,500 official UFO sightings have taken place in this European capital since November 1989.
- \* March 14. A dramatic CE-5 takes place in Gulf Breeze, Fla., initiated by CSETI. Three UFOs break into a triangulated pattern, mimicking the light formation projected into the night sky by the local Working Group. One UFO responds in kind to repeated light flashes. The event is witnessed from seven different locations by more than 50 people and captured on video, audio, and photographic equipment.
- \* May. Doctor Greer addresses the International Association of New Science (IANS), co-founded by former Apollo astronaut-scientist Brian O'Leary, in Denver.
- \* June 28. Laramie, Wyo., is the site of another CE-5, during which two UFOs are vectored to within two to four miles of a CSETI Working Group.



- \* July 20-30. Southern England, Alton Barnes region. A CSETI RMIT team joins Colin Andrews Crop Circle Phenomena Research (CPR) International to study whether a link exists between UFO activity and Crop Circle creations. One of the most significant events in the history of UFOlogy transpires.

Using the Contact Trilogy, the two teams visualize and illuminate in the sky an equilateral triangle with circles at each point. The next day such a formation is found within direct view of the CSETI-CPR research site. Throughout the 10-day research period, many anomalous lights are seen and an unusual CE-5 is multiply witnessed by Greer and others on July 27 in the area. On that night, a structured spacecraft made a near-landing only 400-yards from the research team.

- \* In the fall, CSETI formally organizes its Executive Council and opens its main office headquarters in Asheville, North Carolina. Dr. Greer semi-retires from his hospital position to devote more time to CSETI's operations and ongoing research.

- 1993
- \* January. CSETI Executive Council meets and announces the publication of its quarterly journal -- JETI -- Journal of Extraterrestrial Intelligence.
  - \* February. CSETI begins its official media campaign and public education programs to begin informational briefings about CSETI activities and ETI research.
  - \* March 6-10. CSETI conducts its first extensive Working Group seminar in Arizona.

## VII

---

### C S E T I

---

#### Doctor Steven Greer Biography

Dr. Steven M. Greer is Chairman of the Department of Emergency Medicine at a hospital in North Carolina. He received his M.D. degree from East Tennessee State University (ETSU) College of Medicine and is a member of Alpha Omega Alpha (AOA), the nation's most prestigious medical honor society. He was born in Charlotte, N.C., in 1955.

Dr. Greer has extensive experience in health education, stress management training, and emergency and family medicine. A strong believer in holistic medicine that treats the body, mind and spirit, Greer promotes the complimentary nature of many approaches to health and healing through education and patient care. To facilitate those goals, in 1988 he established the Shambala Institute, a non-profit health care and educational organization in Asheville.

Greer has lived, worked and studied in the Caribbean, Europe and Israel. He was trained as a Transcendental Meditation teacher in 1975, and served as the Director of the International Meditation Society in Bahamas in 1976. He is active in the Baha'i Faith and served at its world headquarters in Haifa, Israel 1978-80.

In 1990, he founded the Center for the Study of Extraterrestrial Intelligence (CSETI), a non-profit organization, dedicated to the further understanding of Extraterrestrial biological entities (or EBEs). Today he is the International Director of CSETI, overseeing world wide Working Group research and diplomatic teams comprised of world citizens.

Dr. Greer is married to Emily Kramer Greer, an Occupational Therapist and the mother of their four lovely daughters. He is an avid bicyclist and hiker, and enjoys renovating historic buildings with his family.



C S E T I  
Doctor Richard F. Haines  
Biography

Richard F. Haines was born and raised in Seattle, Washington, and attended the University of Washington College of Engineering and Pacific Lutheran College (Tacoma) where he received a B.A. degree in 1960. He was awarded an M.A. and Ph.D. from Michigan State University (East Lansing) in 1962 and 1964, respectively, in the field of Experimental Psychology.

Haines worked at NASA-Ames from 1967 to 1986 as a research scientist in numerous astronautical programs, including Gemini, Apollo, Skylab, and Space Station, plus many aeronautical programs where he was Manager of the Joint FAA/NASA Head-up Display Program and landing simulation research projects. From 1986-1988, he was appointed Chief of the Space Human Factors Office at NASA-Ames, directing research and development efforts of the AX-5 "hard" EVA space suit, habitability design research for Space Station Freedom, and spacecraft window design.

He retired from government service in 1988 and taught at San Jose State University as an Associate Professor of Psychology while working part time as a scientist in the Research Institute for Advanced Computer Science. From 1990 to 1991 he has provided consulting services to NASA in various laboratory activities related to supersonic wind tunnel automation redesign and Space Station Freedom-to-ground bandwidth image transmission reduction.

His interest in UFO phenomena spans more than 20-years with special interests in pilot sightings, photographic evidence analysis, and data on Close Encounters of the Fourth Kind, noting that "these areas contain the type of data that will bring us to a successful discovery of the core nature of the phenomena."

Haines recently founded and co-directs the "Joint American-Soviet Aerial Anomaly Federation" (JASAAF). He is the author of more than 55 scientific journal articles and the editor of UFO Phenomena and the Behavioral Sciences (The Scarecrow Press, 1979). He has written Observing UFOs (Nelson-Hall Publishers, 1980); Melbourne Episode-Case Study of a Missing Pilot (LDA Press, 1987); and Advanced Aerial Devices Reported During the Korean War (LDA Press, 1990).

He serves on the CSETI Executive Council as a scientific coordinator for the Department of Research. His complete professional biography can be found in Who's Who in America, Who's Who in California and other similar publications.

C S E T I  
Doctor Joseph Burkes  
Biography

Dr. Joseph Burkes is a Board Certified Internist living in Southern California. He is a partner in a medical group serving a large Health Maintenance Organization and works in the emergency room. Burkes received his M.D. degree from Tufts University School of Medicine in Boston Massachusetts. He was born in New York City in 1949.

Dr. Burkes has been a lifelong activist in the peace and social justice movements. During the Vietnam War he organized students in antiwar protest activities and in 1979 he co-founded the Los Angeles Committee on Occupational Safety and Health, a coalition of medical and legal professionals assisting the labor movement to make the American work place safer.

During the 1980s, as the nuclear arms race intensified, he and thousands of other medical doctors, joined Physicians for Social Responsibility (PSR), an organization established to educate the public and government leaders about the medical consequences of nuclear war. Serving in PSR's Los Angeles chapter, he co-produced a weekly radio talk show titled, "Prescription for Survival," heard on listener-supported KPFK-FM.

As head of PSR's speakers training, he assisted his colleagues in becoming effective public speakers in PSR's campaign against the arms race. He was appointed the Pacific Regional Director for the organization and also served on PSR's National Board of Directors.

During his years in the physicians' peace movement he engaged in citizens' diplomacy and travelled to the USSR four times. There he met with Soviet doctors who were engaged in a similar educational effort under the auspices of the organization International Physicians for the Prevention of Nuclear War, which was awarded the Nobel Prize for its service to promote world peace.

In May of 1992 he met Dr. Steven Greer, a fellow emergency room physician. Dr. Burkes was named the Los Angeles Working Group Coordinator for The CE-5 Initiative and serves as the CSETI liaison to Latin America. As a member of the CSETI Executive Council in the Department of Education, he is responsible for the National Speakers Bureau. He is of Russian-Jewish descent and speaks both Spanish and Russian.

Dr. Burkes is married to Yael Bentovim Burkes, an artist and mother of their two children Jonathan and Julia Burkes. They reside in West Los Angeles.



C S E T I  
Doctor Barbie Taylor  
Biography

Dr. Barbie J. Taylor received her Ph.D. in clinical psychology from the University of Washington in 1978. Her private practice began at the Center for Marital and Sexual Studies in Southern California during the early '80s. She later developed an extensive practice with three offices in the Pittsburgh area. During this time, she was featured on two local radio programs and was a regular bi-weekly guest on the "Midday News Report" with a CBS network television affiliate for almost four years.

Taylor also served as an Adjunct Professor at Arizona State University and at West Virginia University. In 1989, she returned to California where she began private practice again in the Orange County area, with a subsequent focus on writing. At present she is practicing in Las Vegas and writing Changes--Changes--Changes: A Time of Great Awakening, a book about Earth changes and other related natural phenomena.

Dr. Taylor began her work in the field of UFOology in 1980, and became active in CSETI early in 1992. She presently serves on the organization's Executive Council in the Fund Raising and Public Education Departments, and periodically conducts field research with fellow members.

She has presented more than 25 professional papers locally, nationally, and internationally. Taylor is listed in Who's Who of American Women.

CSETI  
Martin Keller  
Biography

Martin Keller has worked as a journalist, public relations liaison and writing professional for more than 13 years. Keller covered arts, business and cultural affairs for Twin Cities' publications such as The Twin Cities Reader, City Pages, Minnesota Monthly, the Star Tribune, The St. Paul Pioneer Press, Mpls-St.Paul Magazine, Corporate Report and other publications. His work also has appeared in numerous national publications including Leaders, Final Frontier, The Utne Reader, The Boston Globe, The Washington Post and The Chicago Sun-Times.

For two years, Keller worked as a senior writer at Wells & Miller, a Minneapolis-based public relations firm specializing in public affairs, marketing communications and custom publications. He placed numerous stories in local, regional, national and trade media for the agency's clients that included Federal Express, Cycle^Sat, The Metropolitan Sports Facilities Commission, The Association of Diagnostic Imaging Technologists (ADIT), The Metropolitan Transit Commission, many non-profit arts organizations and others. He won a 1990 International Association of Business Communicators (IABC) Award for Excellence in Feature Writing.

As a professional writer, he continues to balance independent public relations work and journalism. Prior to his writing career, Keller taught at the Minneapolis Urban League Street Academy, an alternative high school. He is on the Board of Directors for the Cedar Cultural Center and has been the head of Public Education and Media Relations for the Center for the Study of Extraterrestrial Intelligence (CSETI) since December 1992.

Keller is completing the autobiography of Larry Kegan, called "Some Get The 'Chair.'" Paralyzed in a diving accident when he was 16, Kegan helped develop the program at the University of Minnesota in the mid-70s about Sexuality and Disability which is still used across the country today. He also owned and managed a rehabilitation Facility in Mexico, like the one depicted in the movie "Born on the Fourth of July."

Keller also is the co-author of two "spec" screenplays, the first a script for Tom and Rosanne Arnold called "Medallion," a Christmas movie set during the St. Paul Winter Carnival. The other script, "Hotel Babylon," is a comedy-mystery and misadventure about two black comedians who go as impostors to Jamaica where they uncover a sophisticated smuggling operation and discover a missing piece of their history. Both works are currently being shopped in Hollywood.

Keller holds a Bachelor of Arts degree in English and a minor in Spanish from St. John's University in Collegeville, Mn. He also has pursued graduate level course work in Liberal Arts at the University of Minnesota. He is married to Susan Hamre, the Managing Editor of Request Magazine. Keller and Hamre live in Minneapolis.



CSETI  
Dr. Howard M. Schachter, Ph.D.  
Biography

Dr. Howard Schachter is currently engaged in private practice, integrating the complementary roles of therapist, researcher and educator in the service of stimulating and guiding the process of transpersonal realization (the mindful integration of ego-transcendence, ego completion and healing).

By nature, Dr. Schachter is a bridge-builder, harmonizing perspectives on reality whose applied synergy confirms and fosters living the "perennial wisdom" spoken of in many spiritual traditions. The views include: existentialism, applied phenomenology, experientialism, depth psychologies, ecological psychology, applied systems practices, and holism. Eastern, Western and Aboriginal approaches to human bio-psychoenergetics, transpersonalism and spirituality, and traditional as well as alternative practices focused on adult and child clinical interventions, psycho-neuroimmunology, addictions, experimental psychology, and dream/sleep psychophysiology.

The result is a proactive synthesis of healing, research and education empowering individuals to transcend harmoniously their patterns of self-limitation and other disempowering influences.

During the past five years, Dr. Schachter has worked extensively with individuals experiencing cancer in their lives, and those who have experienced what mainstream science and mental health professions have traditionally dismissed as 'anomalous' events.


The latter range from spiritual awakenings to near death experiences, out-of-body experiences and UFO contact. In the Summer of 1992 he became directly involved in the CSETI Initiative. He considers integral to his life's path the establishment of fully conscious, peaceful, and mutually enhancing spiritual, diplomatic and scientific-educational relationships here on earth as well as with civilizations and individuals visiting our planet. To this end, he is involved in CSETI at both the organizational leadership and the applied, field work levels.

He received his B.A. (Psychology) from McGill University (Montreal), M.A. (Psychology) from Ottawa University (Ottawa), and Ph.D. (Psychology) from Carleton University (Ottawa). Both his post-doctoral and fellowship involved working with cancer patients. At present he is also doing substance use research. He was born in Montreal Quebec, Canada in 1955.

Dr. Schachter is married to Rachel Ingber Schachter, the mother of their beautiful children, Davida Erin and Joshua Adam, and a prominent business woman in Canada's Capitol community of Ottawa.

# CSETI

**Policy Institute, Research & Training Center:  
Initial Endowment Goal: \$25 Million**





## HOW YOU CAN ASSIST

The scope of what we are attempting is immense. Financial support is essential to the success of our projects. Membership or a contribution of any amount will help.

The "Best Available Evidence" project is currently seeking the most recent verifiable evidence of ETI encounters on the planet. This information will be used in the presentations to world leaders. Encounters verifiable by multiple witnesses, multiple video or still photography images, physical evidence, etc. are needed.

Members with high level contacts in government or the private sector, either in the United States or abroad will be essential to the rapid networking and dissemination of data as Phase II progresses. Some of the people you know may be helpful in the collection and distribution of information.

Member media contacts in print and/or broadcast networks will be needed. Regional information centers will be established around the world to assist in collecting and processing information and answering questions which will result from formal public announcement.

Funding for "Rapid Mobilization Investigative Team" (RMIT) field research is essential. The ongoing successes with recent human initiated contacts (CE5's) must continue.

We need people to help execute these goals. Do you have any other skills, contacts or information which might be helpful to the CSETI mission? We can make it happen. Contact us please. We need your help!

Friend of CSETI:	\$40-\$59/year (10% off conference fees)
Contributor:	\$60-\$100/year (20% off conference fees)
Sustaining Member:	\$101-\$500/year (33% off)
Benefactor:	\$501-\$2000/year (50% off)
Starlight Member:	\$2001-\$10,000 Lifetime Member (50% off)
Research Founder:	Over \$10,000 Lifetime Member and lifetime complimentary conference status.

Corporate or Organization Membership: \$60/year minimum

Name \_\_\_\_\_

Street Address \_\_\_\_\_

City \_\_\_\_\_ State \_\_\_\_\_ Zip \_\_\_\_\_

Phone \_\_\_\_\_ Occupation \_\_\_\_\_

Enclose additional information as necessary.

CSETI membership includes: information packet and membership card, CSETI newsletters, and information updates.

PO Box 15401 Asheville, NC 28813